# **Report of Committee II**

Final Review of the Partnership Agreement between Maryland and the United States Department of Education Office for Civil Rights

**Commitment 9** 

### **Table of Contents**

	Members of Committee II	ii
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Charge to Committee II	1
III.	Summary of Commitment 9 from the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights Agreement with the State of Maryland	2
IV.	Progress made in meeting Commitment 9	3
V.	Comparable and Competitive Assessment of each HBI	10
VI.	Enhancements for HBIs in FY 2007 Governor's Budget	15
VII.	Committee II Recommendations	16
	Tables 1 - 14	
	Appendix A. Funding and Data Information Table References	
	Appendix B. Activities performed under HBI Enhancement Grant by Inst	stitution
	Appendix C. Comparability Measures – Data Sources	

### Maryland Higher Education Commission OCR Final Report

### **Members of Committee II**

Dr. Donald Slowinski, Sr., Co-Chairperson President Emeritus Essex Community College

The Honorable Nathaniel J. McFadden Member, Maryland State Senate

The Honorable Anthony M. O'Donnell Member, Maryland House of Delegates

Ms. Sharon Pinder Executive Director Governor's Office of Minority Affairs

Mr. David A. Treasure Director Office of Budget Analysis Department of Budget and Management

Dr. Samuel Myers Chairman of the Board Minority Access, Inc. Mr. Sherman Ragland, II, Co-Chairperson Managing Director Tradewinds Realty Partners, LLC

The Honorable David Brinkley Member, Maryland State Senate

The Honorable James E. Proctor, Jr. Member, Maryland House of Delegates

Ms. T. Eloise Foster Managing Member, T. Eloise Foster & Associates, LLC

Dr. George Funaro Deputy Secretary Maryland Higher Education Commission (Retired)

### I. Introduction

In December 2000, the State of Maryland entered into a partnership agreement with the United States Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights (OCR). The OCR Partnership Agreement with the State covers a five-year period from December 2000 to December 2005. The purpose of the Partnership Agreement is to eliminate any vestiges of segregation in Maryland's public colleges and universities. Under the Agreement, the State has pledged to remedy and remove vestiges of past discrimination as required under federal law, as well as expand educational opportunities for African American students without placing unfair burdens on them in the desegregation process.

Central to this commitment is the continuing contributions of the State's four historically black institutions (HBIs). Since forty-five percent of all African American students receiving a bachelor's degree from a public institution in Maryland in 2004 received their degree from an HBI, these campuses continue to play a critical role in ensuring access and equal educational opportunity for African Americans.

As we are completing the last year of the Agreement, the Maryland Higher Education Commission convened two committees to review the progress made toward the nine commitments in the Partnership Agreement since December 2000. Committee I reviewed commitments 1 through 8, and Committee II reviewed Commitment 9, *Enhancing Maryland's Historically Black Colleges and Universities*. This report provides the findings and recommendations of Committee II.

### **II.** Charge to Committee II

Committee II was charged with reviewing the progress made toward Commitment 9 by examining each activity or objective included under the commitment and determining whether the State met the stated objective. Further, the Committee reviewed requests for additional funds submitted by each HBI along with comparative and performance data to determine whether additional funds are needed to ensure that the HBIs are comparable and competitive with similar Maryland traditionally white institutions (TWIs) as specified under the Agreement. Data developed by staff was shared with the HBIs to ensure the accuracy of the information provided to the committee.

Within the context of this review, the HBIs were provided the opportunity to submit requests for additional funds that they felt would be needed over the next five years in order to make their institutions comparable and competitive with the similar TWIs. They were asked to submit itemized requests that included a breakout of one-time and ongoing operational funds, and capital needs along with the timeframe for the funding and detailed justification. Those requests are summarized in Tables 1 and 1a through 1d.

In addition, the institutions were requested to include with the justifications comparative information from comparable Maryland TWIs showing the deficiency to be corrected, as well as data from the national accountability peers under funding guidelines. They were also asked to identify specific measurable outcomes that would be achieved with the funds with an associated timeframe for each item requested.

The presidents of each HBI were then invited to present and discuss their respective requests to Committee II, which they did in December 2005.

# **III.** Summary of Commitment 9 from the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights Agreement with the State of Maryland

Commitment 9 focuses on the enhancement of the State's four HBIs: Bowie State University, Coppin State University, the University of Maryland Eastern Shore, and Morgan State University. The commitment includes specific actions to be taken as well as a broader goal of making certain that the HBIs are comparable and competitive with the State's TWIs in all facets of their operations and programs, including the following:

- The distinctiveness of the HBIs' programmatic missions;
- The uniqueness and mix of quality academic programs that are not unnecessarily duplicated at proximate traditionally white institutions;
- Operational funding consistent with the mix and degree level of academic programs, support for the development of research infrastructure, and support consistent with the academic profile of students;
- Lower student-faculty ratios appropriate to support their missions;
- The expanse, functionality and architectural quality of physical facilities;
- The appearance, attractiveness, and ambiance of the campus and surrounding public infrastructure; including roads, lighting, and public transportation; and
- Funding to support students' quality of campus life.

The agreement pledged to provide commitments to enhance the HBIs through the normal budget process based on operating budget funding guidelines and, as may be necessary, appropriate, and available, special enhancement funding, for a limited period of time, but not to extend beyond the terms of this Agreement.

The specific commitments included in Commitment 9 are the following:

- Enhance funding in the areas of admissions management, student financial aid administration, and institutional development programs directed toward identification of "best practices" and the development of strategic plans in each of these areas;
- Increase funding for Access and Success by doubling its current funding of \$3 million by FY 2003;
- Provide a 2:1 match for HBIs under the Private Donation Incentive Program;
- Commit to the expeditious completion of the following capital improvements at Bowie, UMES, and Morgan:
  - Bowie
    - Campus Site Development
    - New Science Building

- UMES
  - Food Science and Technology Center
  - Social Science, Education and Health Science Bldg.
  - New Physical Plant Building
  - Renovate Waters/Somerset Halls
- Morgan
  - New Communications Center
  - Science Research Facility w/ Greenhouse
  - Montebello Site Improvements
- Conduct an independent study leading to a comprehensive strategic plan for the revitalization of Coppin State College; and
- Enhance Boards of Visitors at the HBIs.

### IV. Progress made in meeting Commitment 9

Assessment of the State's progress in meeting the specific actions listed in Commitment 9 is easily accomplished. However, assessment of whether the HBIs are "comparable and competitive with the State's TWIs" is a much more complex task. This section provides an overview of the State actions to support the HBIs during the period of the Agreement as well as an assessment of the progress in meeting the specific actions required. Section V provides an assessment of each HBI to determine whether they are comparable and competitive with the TWIs. This section identifies the areas in which each HBI compares favorably with comparable TWIs as well as those areas in which they fall below.

### State Support for HBIs from FY 2002 to FY 2006

In the spring of 2001, the national economy was weakening and it was determined later in the year that the country had been in a recession since March 2001. This lasted through November of that year. In the summer of 2001, revenue collections in Maryland began to slow and continued, actually lagging projections, through fiscal 2002 and 2003. This slowdown continued until the spring of 2004, when revenue collections began to improve. In March of 2004, the revenue estimate for fiscal 2004 was raised slightly. The final revenues for fiscal 2004 exceeded the estimate by \$261 million. While this was not enough to produce a structural surplus, there was a significant improvement in revenue performance in 2004.

As a result, there was a structural deficit in the Maryland operating budget between fiscal 2003 and 2005 that resulted in reductions in State funding to most State agencies, including public and private colleges and universities. However, while many public colleges and universities experienced reductions to state general fund appropriations, appropriations to historically black institutions were either protected from deep reductions, or were provided modest increases in funding during that time.

As Tables 2a and 2b show, State general fund appropriations to historically black colleges and universities increased by 7 percent during the period between fiscal 2001 and 2006 while the overall average to Maryland public colleges and universities was only 1.8 percent during that

time. State General Fund support to the three comprehensive institutions, considered to be "peer" institutions to the Maryland HBIs, actually dropped by 1.8 percent.

Tables 2c and 2d show the funding provided to HBIs as compared to the TWIs on a per student basis during the same period.

In addition to protecting General Fund support to the base budgets of the historically black institutions, the State provided a total of \$56.4 million in additional operating enhancement and grant funding to the institutions in accordance with the specific commitments of Commitment 9 (Table 3). This funding was provided on an annual basis as one-time funding and was not added to the base budgets of the institutions. Further, a total of \$330 million has supported capital development for HBIs during that time, and the five-year State Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for fiscal 2006 includes a projected \$249 million in additional capital development between fiscal 2007 and 2010 (Tables 7 and 8).

### Actions taken with regard to the specific commitments

**9(a)(1)-(3):** Enhancement funding in the areas of admissions management, student financial aid administration, and institutional development programs directed toward identification of "best practices" and the development of strategic plans in each of these areas.

In 2001, the Maryland Higher Education Commission hired two consultants to review operations at the four HBIs. The firm of Noel-Levitz, a higher education consulting firm from Iowa City, Iowa, was hired for the purpose of reviewing, analyzing, and making recommendations for the improvement of enrollment management and financial aid operations at each institution.

Noel-Levitz performed its analysis during the summer of 2001 and provided each institution with a report discussing their findings and setting out numerous specific recommendations for the purpose of improving the enrollment management and financial aid functions.

The firm of Marts and Lundy, a national consulting firm from Lyndhurst, New Jersey, was hired to conduct an audit of the development programs at each of the four HBIs in Maryland, to submit a report summarizing their findings, and provide recommendations for expanding and improving the Institutional Advancement programs at each institution. These analyses and reports were performed and provided to the institutions in the late summer and early fall of 2001.

Following the results of these studies, the State provided funding to the HBIs through the Maryland Higher Education Commission in the form of the HBI Enhancement Grant. This funding started in fiscal 2003 at \$3 million, rose to \$5.5 million in fiscal 2004, and then to \$6 million in fiscal 2005 to the present (Table 4). These funds were provided for the purpose of implementing recommendations of the consultants and to pay for debt service on facilities financed through the issuance of academic revenue debt. The institutions applied the funds to improving operations in the areas of student support services, implementing PeopleSoft management systems, improving information technology, enhancing library operations, developing and improving institutional advancement and alumni relations, and other activities related to the consultants' advice.

# 9(a)(4): Increase funding for Access and Success by doubling its current funding of \$3 million by FY 2003.

Recognizing the need to improve student retention and graduation rates at Maryland's HBIs, the State of Maryland established the Access and Success Multi-Year Grant Program in 1998. The primary goal of the Access and Success Multi-Year Grant Program is to improve retention and graduation rates by enhancing the relationship between administration, enrollment management, and teaching and learning practices. As a result of the OCR Agreement, Maryland committed to double funding of the Access and Success program. This funding was raised from \$2 million annually (or \$500,000 per institution) in FY 1999 and 2000, to \$3 million (\$750,000 per institution) in FY 2001, to \$4.5 million (\$1,125,000 per institution) in fiscal 2002, to \$6 million annually (\$1.5 million per institution) in fiscal 2003 through 2006, with equal distribution among Bowie State University, Coppin State College, Morgan State University, and University of Maryland Eastern Shore (Table 5). Annual appropriations for Access and Success totaled \$28.5 million with each HBI receiving \$7,125,000 from FY 2002 through FY 2006 (Table 5).

The institutions have used the Access and Success grant to build upon initiatives and develop new programs aimed at helping students stay in school and graduate. These programs include Summer Bridge programs, Center for Excellence in Teaching and Learning, Pre-College Summer Program, Cohort Attack Program, First Year Experience/Transition class, and the Freshmen Laptop initiative.

### 9(a)(5): Provide a 2:1 match for HBIs under the Private Donation Incentive Program.

The Maryland Higher Education Commission introduced legislation during the 2001 legislative session to increase the Private Donation Incentive Program (PDIP) State match for private pledges to Bowie State University, Coppin State College, Morgan State University, and University of Maryland Eastern Shore to \$2 for every \$1 of the first \$250,000 pledged and then an equal State match for the next \$1 million pledged for all pledges made after July 1, 2001. The bill also increased the maximum amount these institutions could receive from \$750,000 to \$1.5 million during the life of the program. The legislation also extended the State match program for the four institutions to include donations made before January 1, 2006. The legislation was passed during the 2001 legislative session as Chapter 104, Acts of 2001 and appears under Section 17-302 of the Education Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland.

The institutions have responded by raising additional outside funding in association with the PDIP programs. Total outside funds raised under the PDIP program by institution between fiscal 2000 and fiscal 2005 are as follows:

Bowie State University	\$ 325,045
Coppin State (College) University	\$ 1,283,157
Morgan State University	\$ 3,335,587
University of Maryland Eastern Shore	\$ 2,412,768

The State has paid a total of \$4.4 million in PDIP funds to the HBIs between fiscal 2002 and fiscal 2006 in the following amounts (Table 6):

Bowie State University	\$ 439,059
Coppin State (College) University	\$ 1,293,828
Morgan State University	\$ 1,290,402
University of Maryland Eastern Shore	\$ 1,385,825

# **9(b):** Commit to the expeditious completion of capital improvements at Bowie, UMES and Morgan.

The State provided capital improvement funding to support all of the projects specified in Commitment 9. The status of these projects is as follows:

Bowie State University

Campuswide Site Development – State funding was provided in fiscal 2003 and 2004 and the university completed the project in fiscal 2004; and

New Science Building – State funding was provided in fiscal 2002 and the university completed that project in fiscal 2003.

### University of Maryland Eastern Shore

Food Science and Technology Center – The State provided construction and equipment funding in fiscal 2002 and 2003 and the university completed the project in 2004;

Waters and Somerset Hall Renovations – State bond funding was provided in fiscal 2002 and 2003 and the renovations were successfully completed in 2004;

Social Science, Education and Health Science Building – The State provided construction and equipment funding between fiscal 2002 and 2005 and the university completed the project in 2005; and

New Physical Plant Building – The university received State construction and equipment funding between fiscal 2002 and 2004 and completed the project in 2005.

### Morgan State University

Received State funding for a Science Research Facility and Greenhouse in fiscal 2002 and 2003 and completed the building in 2004;

New Communications Center and Pedestrian Bridge – State funding was provided between fiscal 2002 and 2006 and the project was completed in fiscal 2006; and Montebello Site Improvements – Morgan received State funds to design and construct site improvements. The university demolished the E-wing, Old Power Plant, and Morgue in 2005.

In addition to the specified projects, the State provided additional capital funding for new projects not specified under the agreement and for several projects at Coppin State University identified for expeditious completion by the University and the USM Board of Regents. Table 7 provides detail on capital projects and funding at each HBI during the Agreement period.

# 9(c): Conduct an independent study leading to a comprehensive strategic plan for the revitalization of Coppin State College.

The State provided funding through the Maryland Higher Education Commission operating budget to fund a study of Coppin State College (now Coppin State University) with recommendations for revitalizing the college. The Coppin State College Study team headed by Dr. John Toll, studied the following areas: mission; academic programs; student mix; administrative and faculty staffing; institutional advancement; fiscal affairs; and facilities. The team held public hearings on the campus and met with faculty members, staff, students, community and city representatives, members of the institution's Board of Visitors, and many others who provided factual information and personal perspectives about Coppin State College. The team issued a series of recommendations on ways to enhance Coppin's academic programs, facilities, and operating budget. Their report, referred to the Toll Report, was published September 2001 and had far reaching implications for the institution. The recommendations from the Toll Report were considered in the development of a strategic plan, which was approved by the USM Board of Regents in 2002. The 2001-2002 strategic plan was revisited by the new president, Dr. Battle, in 2004-2005, resulting in the present strategic plan, "Coppin State University in 2010: Nurturing Potential...Transforming Lives." The Plan includes the following strategic goals:

- Enhance academic excellence in undergraduate and graduate academic programs,
- Enhance student success,
- Construct and renovate facilities, and
- Expand external relations and improve advancement operations.

The plan also includes 11 implementation goals, broken down as follows:

GOAL 1. Restructure and strengthen academic programs through revitalization, enhancement, and expansion that are performance benchmarked to meet the needs of an increasingly diverse student population as well as the marketplace in the central city, metropolitan area, the state, and the nation. Coppin State University will maintain its commitment to those students, particularly African-Americans who come from economically challenged communities.

GOAL 1A. Restructure and revitalize selected academic programs and add new offerings at the undergraduate and graduate levels that complement the uniqueness of the institutional mission, prepare graduates for service to the State's increasingly racially diverse and aging citizenry while reviewing existing structures to maximize efficiency and effectiveness.

GOAL 2. Enhance student success by attaining optimal enrollment and enrollment mix of students based on admission policies, institutional studies regarding retention and graduation expectations, the use of technology, and supported by a student friendly environment.

GOAL 2A. Increase the enrollment from 4,000 to 5,000 students within ten years ensuring that the growth is related to increased retention efforts, academic, and facility plans.

GOAL 2B. Promote the multicultural nature of Maryland by enhancing diversity of the undergraduate student population based upon gender, race, age, and national origin.

GOAL 2C. Diversify the undergraduate student mix by increasing the number of academically talented students, students living on-campus, eligible transfer students, adult learners, and students from other regions of Maryland and the nation looking for an urban educational experience.

GOAL 2D. Increase activities that foster student development supporting the core values and standards established by the University to promote retention.

GOAL 2E. Continue to develop a supportive and student friendly environment that promotes mental and physical health, career opportunities, social interaction, personal development, leadership, and residential life experiences.

GOAL 3. Construct and renovate facilities and infrastructure to provide a state-of-the-art learning environment that attracts and retains academically competitive students and faculty.

GOAL 4. Expand external relations and improve advancement operations by advancing the larger educational, economic, and business interests of Coppin State University – building and nurturing mutually beneficial relationships among and between pre-alumni, alumni, philanthropic, government, corporate, and community constituencies in support of academic excellence.

GOAL 4A. Support and promote the image and mission of Coppin State University.

The strategic plan also identifies specific strategies for attaining each implementation goal.

To support the revitalization of Coppin, the Maryland Higher Education Commission allocated a portion of the HBI Enhancement Fund Grant to assist the institution in implementing the strategic plan (Table 4). The university also utilized the HBI Enhancement Grant funding for initiatives that were consistent with the recommendations of the Study team. The university applied the additional HBI Enhancement Grant funding it received to pre-design services required by State agencies for the preparation of documentation and implementation of planning, design, and construction activities for capital projects scheduled in conjunction with the agreement.

The State also provided over \$101 million in capital funding for projects at Coppin State University (Table 7).

### 9(d): Enhancement of Boards of Visitors for HBIs.

In addition to the commitments stated above, consistent with the consultants' recommendations regarding improving fundraising at the institutions, the agreement recognized that the HBI institutions should have qualified consulting or governing boards. Because Bowie State University, Coppin State University, and the University of Maryland Eastern Shore are governed by the University System of Maryland Board of Regents (USM), the agreement stipulated that the presidents of USM's HBIs would ensure that the Boards of Visitors at the HBIs in USM are of the highest caliber. For example, for any and all vacancies occurring during the period of the agreement, individuals possessing a diversity of experiences and background would be recruited to serve on the Boards of Visitors of HBIs. Desired credentials for Board members include, but are not limited to, a demonstrated commitment to education and to the relevant HBI, successful experience operating medium-size or large for-profit or non-profit organizations, demonstrated leadership in the individual's community or their field of work, demonstrated knowledge or successful experience in managing personnel and fiscal/financial affairs, demonstrated success in fundraising, and other qualifications or experience that would be of use in ensuring the vitality and future of the HBIs. Morgan State University was not included because it has an independent Board of Trustees.

In 2005, Bowie State University dissolved its Board of Visitors to build and strengthen the Bowie State Foundation Board. The Bowie State Foundation Board has nine members; eight are appointed, and the Vice President for External Relations for Bowie State serves as the ninth member.

Coppin State University has a nine-member Board of Visitors. Prior to the installation of the current President, Coppin had one board consisting of Foundation and regular Board members. The President separated the Board into two Boards and has appointed four alumni to the Board of Visitors. Six Board seats are currently filled and three are in the process of being filled. Members of the Board include two retired members of the Baltimore City School System, a former Regent of the University System of Maryland, an owner of a media and communications company, and a senior sales manager for a national residential real estate company. Most of the six members are also alumni of Coppin State.

The President of the University of Maryland Eastern Shore established an initiative that includes revitalizing the Board of Visitors and annual new member orientations and retreats. The university has a sixteen member Board of Visitors. Its members include attorneys, accountants, presidents and vice presidents of area banks and corporations, a former regional director for the Peace Corps, a medical administrator, a physician, a retired school superintendent, and business owners.

### Summary of Progress on Specific Commitments

Maryland has clearly met the specific commitments included in Commitment 9, by providing the additional funding specified and completing the capital projects listed in the commitment. However, the broader commitment of making certain that the HBIs are comparable and competitive with the State's TWIs in all facets of their operations and programs is more difficult to determine.

### V. Comparable and Competitive Assessment of each HBI

As noted earlier, the assessment of whether the HBIs are "comparable and competitive" with Maryland TWIs is a complex task. Committee members were provided with a comprehensive set of data that compared the HBIs to Maryland TWIs. Selected data also compared the HBIs to institutions from their national accountability peers used under the funding guideline methodology. The data provided is listed in Appendix A.

The data compared Maryland HBIs to similar Maryland TWIs based on their Carnegie Classification. Maryland HBIs were classified as Masters I under the 2000 Carnegie Classification. Maryland TWIs with the same Carnegie Classification include: Towson University, Frostburg State University, and Salisbury University. For the purposes of the assessment of Morgan State University, the comparison also includes the University of Maryland Baltimore County (UMBC) to acknowledge Morgan's recent attainment of the Carnegie classification of "Doctoral/Research Universities." However, during the period of the OCR Agreement, Morgan was classified as a "Masters I" university.

In addition, each HBI President submitted their request for the additional enhancements needed and met with the Committee to discuss their institutional needs. A summary of the institutional requests is provided in Table 1 and summaries of each institution's separate requests are shown in Tables 1a through 1d. The presentations by the HBI presidents recognized the support received to date from the state, however they noted that most of the additional funding was provided annually as one time enhancements. Since these funds were not part of the base budget of the institution, they could not be used to support enhancements of ongoing operations, programs or continuing initiatives.

Since the OCR Agreement specifies that the HBIs should be made comparable and competitive to similar Maryland TWIs, it seems appropriate to evaluate this data to determine the areas in which the HBIs compare favorably to comparable TWIs and areas in which they fall below. An analysis of each HBI is provided in Tables 11 through 14.

### **Bowie State University**

### Compares Favorably to TWIs

As shown in Table 11, Bowie State University's general fund appropriation per full-time equivalent student was \$5,175 in fiscal 2005 while the TWI average was \$4,481. The university also has educational and general expenditures per FTE of \$12,188 in fiscal 2005 compared to the TWI average of \$11,678.

Bowie State's reported pass rate was 100 percent on the PRAXIS II exam for 2003 test-takers and the average rate was 94 percent for the TWIs. Academic library holdings per FTE were 61 for Bowie State in 2002 as compared to the TWI average of 52. The faculty salary range at Bowie State is \$52,559 to \$76,777 for 2004 and the TWI averages range from \$51,308 to \$75,208.

Bowie State reports academic space per FTE of 67 square feet for fiscal 2005 while the TWI average is 61 square feet. Bowie also reports a surplus of 6,453 square feet of academic space in fiscal 2005 while the TWI average is a deficiency of 102,598 square feet. Bowie's buildings have an average age of 39 years while the TWI average is 40 years.

### Performs Below TWIs

Bowie State University's student profile shows that BSU's fall 2004 average SAT score was 865, compared to an average 1,070 SAT score at the comparable TWI institutions. Bowie's parttime undergraduate students are 20 percent of total undergraduates, while the TWI average is 12 percent. In 2004, 39 percent of freshmen at Bowie received federal grant financial aid, compared to an average of 16 percent at the TWIs. State general funds make up 43 percent of educational and general expenditures in Bowie's operating budget, and 38 percent on average at the TWIs. Bowie State's funding guideline attainment was 51 percent for fiscal 2006, and the TWI average was 78 percent. Bowie average alumni giving rate was 7 percent while the TWI average was 8 percent for 2003 and 2004.

Bowie reported a 73 percent second-year retention rate, a 38 percent overall six-year graduation rate, and a 43 percent six-year graduation rate for African Americans while the TWI averages in those categories were 80 percent, 58 percent, and 53 percent, respectively. The university's student faculty ratio was 17.50 for fiscal 2005, compared to the TWI average of 17.34, and the student to student services personnel ratio was 34 in 2004 compared to the TWI average of 29. Sixty-eight percent of faculty hold the terminal degree at Bowie State while an average of 82 percent of faculty hold the terminal degree at the TWIs. The university' percentage of part-time faculty is 46 percent, while the TWI average is 44 percent.

The Diversity Index at Bowie State University is 0.18 and the TWIs report an average index of 0.27. The University also reports a crime statistic of 0.83 percent per population while the TWI average is 0.29 percent. Finally, Bowie has renovated 8 buildings since 1970, compared to an average of 17 buildings renovated at the TWIs.

### **Coppin State University**

### Compares Favorably to TWIs

Table 12 shows that Coppin State University's general fund per FTE was \$6,283 in fiscal 2005 and the TWI average was \$4,481. The university also had an average alumni giving rate of 21 percent in 2002 to 2003, while the TWIs had an average rate of 8 percent for 2003 to 2004.

Coppin reports a pass rate of 100 percent on the PRAXIS exam for 2003 test-takers, while the TWI average is 94 percent. The University's student to faculty ratio is 17.34 compared to an average of 17.38 at the TWIs. Coppin's student to student service personnel ratio is 16 compared to an average of 29 for the TWIs. Coppin reports faculty salaries of \$79,250 for Full Professor and \$53,353 for Assistant Professor for 2004, compared to averages of \$75,208 and \$51,308 at the TWIs respectively.

Coppin State University reports a crime statistic of 0.28 percent per population in 2004 and the TWI average is 0.29 percent. Coppin has an academic space deficiency of 16,426 square feet while the TWIs have an average deficiency of 102,598 square feet. The average age of buildings at Coppin is 30 years compared to an average of 40 years at the TWIs.

### Performs Below TWIs

Coppin State University students have an average SAT score of 875 while the average at the TWIs is 1,070. Coppin State also has 23 percent of undergraduates who are part-time, compared to an average of 12 percent at the TWIs, and 62 percent of freshmen receiving federal grant financial aid, compared to 16 percent at the TWIs. General fund appropriations are 57 percent of educational and general expenditures at Coppin State, and an average of 38 percent at the TWIs. In fiscal 2006, Coppin achieved a funding guideline attainment of 69 percent while the TWIs achieved an average attainment of 78 percent.

Coppin reports a second-year retention rate of 69 percent compared to the TWI average of 80 percent. Coppin also reports a six-year graduation rate of 32 percent while the TWIs report an average of 58 percent. The six-year graduation rate is 27 percent for African Americans at Coppin and an average of 53 percent at the TWIs. The university's percentage of part-time faculty is 45 percent, while the average at the TWIs is 44 percent. Coppin reports that 59 percent of faculty hold the terminal degree, while the TWI average is 82 percent. Coppin State's academic holdings per FTE were 26 compared to the TWI average of 52.

The University's 2004 faculty salary for Associate Professor was \$59,323 while the TWI average was \$60,482. Coppin's diversity index is 0.04, compared to an average index of 0.27 at the TWIs campuses. Coppin's level of 58 square feet of academic space per FTE compares to a TWI average of 61 and Coppin has renovated 5 buildings since 1970, compared to an average of 17 buildings at the TWIs.

### **University of Maryland Eastern Shore**

### Compares Favorably to TWIs

As Table 13 shows, the University of Maryland Eastern Shore's (UMES) percentage of part-time students is 9 percent compared to an average of 12 percent at the TWIs. UMES' general funds per FTE are \$6,661 while the average of the TWIs are \$4,481. Educational and general expenditures at UMES are \$13,079, compared to an average of \$11,678 at the comparable TWIs for fiscal 2005.

The University has a student to faculty ratio of 16.08 compared to the average of 17.38 at the TWIs. UMES's part-time faculty represents 31 percent of total faculty, compared to an average of 44 percent at the TWIs. UMES had academic holdings per FTE of 76 compared to an average of 52 at the TWIs in 2002.

UMES has a student-to-student service personnel ratio of 26 while the TWI average is 29 for this indicator. Average faculty salaries are \$64,394 for Associate Professor and \$53,716 for Assistant Professor at UMES, compared to the TWI averages of \$60,482 and \$51,308 for each respective rank. UMES has a diversity index of 0.38 while the TWI average is 0.27. The

University reports academic space per FTE of 114 square feet in fiscal 2005, and the TWIs report an average of 61 square feet. UMES has an academic space surplus of 17,238 square feet compared to an average deficiency of 102,598 square feet at the TWIs, and an average building age of 32 years, compared to the average age of 40 years for the TWIs.

### Performs Below TWIs

The University of Maryland Eastern Shore reports an average SAT score of 830 for entering freshmen, compared to an average of 1,070 at the comparable TWI institutions. The University reports that 73 percent of students receive federal grant aid versus an average of 16 percent at the TWIs.

General funds represent 51 percent of the university's educational and general expenditures while they represent an average of 38 percent at the TWIs. UMES's average alumni giving rate is 3 percent, compared to the TWI average of 8 percent. UMES's funding guideline attainment is 71 percent compared to the TWI average of 78 percent. UMES also reports a second-year retention rate of 69 percent and the TWIs report an average of 80 percent. UMES's overall six-year graduation rate of 44 percent compares to a TWI average of 58 percent, and UMES's six-year graduation rate for African American students is 50 percent while the TWI average is 53 percent.

In 2003, UMES students had a pass rate of 45 percent on the PRAXIS exam while the average pass rate at the TWIs was 94 percent. UMES reported an average salary of \$72,647 for Full Professor, compared to an average of \$75,208 at the TWIs. UMES's crime statistic for 2004 was 1.54 percent, compared to the TWI average of 0.29 percent. The university has renovated 13 buildings since 1970, compared to an average of 17 buildings at the TWIs.

### Morgan State University

During the period of the Agreement, Morgan State University held the Carnegie Classification of Master's I University and was compared to the three traditionally white institutions that held the same classification, Frostburg State University, Salisbury University, and Towson University. The Commission has recently been informed that Morgan State University's Carnegie Classification has changed to Doctoral/Research University. Therefore, the Commission has added the University of Maryland Baltimore County to Morgan's comparison in this section.

As was discussed earlier in the report, Morgan State received extra funding in fiscal 2003 through 2006 through the HBI Enhancement Grant. The University used a portion of their allocation to fund research equipment at the new science facility. Although not responsible for the Doctoral attainment, this enhancement helped the institution reach the new Carnegie Classification.

### Compares Favorably to TWIs

Table 14 shows that Morgan State University has a percentage of part-time undergraduate students of 11 percent, the average at the TWIs is 12 percent, and the University of Maryland Baltimore County (UMBC) has a level of 16 percent. Morgan's general funds per FTE student are \$7,741, compared to the TWI average of \$4,481 and \$7,114 for UMBC.

Morgan reported a pass rate of 100 percent on the PRAXIS exam for 2003 test-takers, compared to the average of 94 percent at the TWIs and 94 percent rate at UMBC. Morgan's student to faculty ratio is 15.25, the TWI average is 17.38 and the ratio is 17.17 at UMBC. Morgan's part-time faculty level is 21 percent while the TWI average is 46 percent and UMBC has a level of 27 percent. Morgan has a student-to-student service personnel ratio of 14 while the TWI average is 29 and UMBC's ratio is 20.

Faculty salaries at Morgan range from \$57,888 to \$89,254, compared to the range of the TWI averages of \$51,308 to \$75,208. Average salaries for Associate Professor and Assistant Professor at Morgan are \$69,380 and \$57,888, compared to \$67,769 and \$57,397 at UMBC for these ranks respectively.

Morgan's academic space per FTE is 93, compared to the TWI average of 61, and UMBC's level of 93. Morgan has an academic space deficiency of 65,927 square feet while the TWI average is 102,598 and the deficiency at UMBC is 137,268 square feet. Morgan has renovated 19 buildings since 1970, compared to the TWI average of 17, and 13 buildings reported at UMBC.

### Performs Below TWIs

Morgan State University reports an average SAT score of 905 for incoming freshmen compared to the average of 1,070 at the TWI institutions and 1,220 at UMBC. The percentage of students receiving federal grant aid at Morgan is 47 percent, while the TWI average of 16 percent and UMBC's level is 17 percent.

General fund appropriations at Morgan represent 49 percent of educational and general expenditures, whereas the average of the TWIs is 38 percent, and UMBC's general funds represent 39 percent. Morgan's funding guideline attainment is 74 percent, compared to the average attainment of 78 percent at the TWIs, and the 64 percent attainment at UMBC. Morgan has a second-year retention rate of 73 percent while the TWI average is 79 percent and UMBC's rate is 82 percent. Morgan's six-year graduation rate is 40 percent compared to 58 percent at the TWIs and 55 percent at UMBC. MSU's six-year graduation rate for African Americans is 42 percent, the TWI average is 53 percent and UMBC's rate is 64 percent. Morgan reports that 76 percent of faculty hold the terminal degree, while the TWI average is 82 percent, and UMBC has a level of 89 percent. Morgan's academic holdings per FTE are 50 compared to the TWI average of 52.

Morgan's average salary for Full Professor is \$89,254 while the average salary at UMBC is \$97,782. Morgan has a diversity index of 0.10, while the TWIs have an average index of 0.27 and UMBC's index is 0.57. The University reports a crime statistics of 0.86 percent, compared to an average of 0.29 at the TWIs and 0.15 percent at UMBC. The average building age at Morgan is 44 years, while buildings at the TWIs have an average age of 40 years, and UMBC's average building age is 25 years.

### VI. Enhancements for HBIs in FY 2007 Governor's Budget

The State operating budget for fiscal 2007 has been introduced and, although it will be enacted beyond the term of the agreement, includes significant increases to the HBIs. These increases include both continued one-time enhancement funds as well as increases to annual institutional operating budgets that will remain in the budgets in future years.

Overall, HBIs receive \$152.8 million in the fiscal 2007 operating budget allowances. This is an increase of \$22.6 million, or 17.4 percent, over the fiscal 2006 appropriation. Bowie State University receives a fiscal 2007 allowance of \$26.4 million, which is \$4.2 million, or 19 percent, higher than the fiscal 2006 appropriation. Coppin State University receives \$30.1 million, which is 9.4 million, or 45 percent, higher than the fiscal 2006 appropriation. The University Maryland Eastern Shore's fiscal 2007 allowance is \$29.7 million, \$4.9 million, or 21 percent, higher than fiscal 2006, and the fiscal 2007 allowance for Morgan State University is \$61.8 million, \$10 million, or 19 percent, higher than the fiscal 2006 appropriation.

Included in these allowances is a total of \$2 million for need-based financial aid and \$6 million for Access and Success programs. While the funding for Access and Success is not new, moving these funds to the base budgets of the HBIs addresses concerns raised regarding the limitations of funding provided through one-time enhancements. Base funding to address student retention and graduation will allow the HBIs to incorporate these efforts into the ongoing activities of each campus.

The fiscal 2007 allowance for Coppin State University also includes \$3.8 million to fund debt service for implementation of a computer-based campus management system, dining and residence hall projects, an energy contract, bonds used to fund other academic facility projects, and other smaller initiatives.

In addition to the increases to institutional operating budgets, the HBI Enhancement Grant will continue to be funded at the \$6 million dollar level, a one-time grant of \$100,000 is planned for recruitment and retention initiatives, and Bowie State and Coppin State will receive State funds from the Private Donation Incentive Program.

The fiscal 2007 budget also includes a \$19 million, or 18.3 percent, increase for State-wide need-based financial aid programs, which will help students with financial need attending the HBIs.

The proposals in this budget show that, although the agreement is expiring this year, Maryland continues to make a strong and continuing commitment to the HBIs.

### **VII.** Committee II Recommendations

Committee II reviewed a considerable amount of information and met with each HBI President to discuss their needs. Representatives from the Office of the Attorney General also provided the Committee with an overview of Maryland's legal obligations and commitments under the Agreement, information on the status of agreements in other states, as well as a brief history of cases addressing related desegregation issues. They also answered questions raised by committee members. The Committee found that the lack of specific commitments regarding funding amounts with regard to the determination of "comparability and competitiveness" for the HBIs made their assessment particularly challenging. At the final meeting of the Committee, they discussed the various findings of the draft report and came to consensus on the following recommendations:

### 1. The State made a good faith effort to meet the goals of Commitment 9

The Committee concluded that the State made a good faith effort to abide by the requirements of Commitment 9. A total of \$56.4 million in additional State funds for operating enhancements and grant funding was provided to the HBIs from FY 2002 to FY 2006 to meet the objectives in Commitment 9. All of the specific commitments in 9(a)(1)-(3), 9(a)(4), 9(a)(5),9(b), 9(c), and 9(d) were met. Further, a total of \$330 million has supported capital development for HBIs during this time, and the five-year State Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for fiscal 2006 includes a projected \$249 million in additional capital development between fiscal 2007 and 2010. It is important to recognize that these enhancements were provided during a period in which Maryland, like many other states, was experiencing a recession. A structural deficit in the Maryland operating budget between fiscal 2003 and 2005 resulted in reductions in state funding to most state agencies, including public and private colleges and universities. However, while many public colleges and universities experienced reductions to state general fund appropriations to historically black institutions were either protected from deep reductions, or were provided modest increases in funding during that time.

# **2.** Substantial Progress has been made in enhancing Maryland's Historically Black Institutions

The Committee recognized that substantial progress has been made in enhancing Maryland Historically Black Institutions. Enhancement funding during the period of the Agreement supported a wide range of activities at the HBIs. These included enhancing academic programs and library collections, opening or enhancing institutional advancement programs, upgrading information technology and purchasing research equipment. A complete list of the activities is provided in Appendix B. Data reviewed by the Committee indicated that there are numerous areas in which the HBIs compare favorably to Maryland's Traditionally White Institutions. Section V of this report provides a complete discussion of these areas and a summary is provided in Table 9.

There have also been improvements in funding for capital projects, with particular emphasis on Coppin State University as required in the Agreement. All projects specified in the Agreement have been completed, and there are 18 additional projects in the pipeline for all HBIs through 2010. Since capital projects typically require a longer period for development, it is important to recognize the projects included in the State Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) in the years beyond the Agreement period. It is also important to note that substantial development is underway for Coppin based on a USM Board of Regents approved Master Plan developed for the campus stemming from the Toll report. Capital enhancements for Coppin are in various stages of planning, acquisition, design and construction. Approximately 18 projects are planned for Coppin through 2016. Completion of the capital development for the campus as envisioned by their Master Plan will achieve the revitalization called for in the Agreement.

### 3. Areas of Special Concern

While the Committee acknowledged that the HBIs have made progress, they also concluded that there remain a number of areas in which the HBIs have not reached parity with the TWIs. These areas were discussed in Section V and a summary of these areas is provided in Table 10. The committee identified these as areas of special concern and recommends that continuing support be provided to improve areas in which the HBIs show significant and long term deficiencies, as appropriate, for a period of time necessary, but no longer than five years. The Committee urges that the presidents of the HBIs be consulted on a regular and continuing basis in the planning and implementation of strategies for addressing these deficiencies. Further, the Committee recommends that funding to support enhancements of ongoing operations, programs or continuing initiatives be provided in the base budgets of the HBIs, as appropriate.

### 4. Develop Quantifiable Measures to Guide Continuing Enhancements

The Committee noted in their discussions that it was very easy to determine whether the State met the specific commitments included in Commitment 9. However, they found it extremely difficult to make an assessment of whether the State had provided the appropriate level of support to the HBIs to ensure that they are "comparable and competitive" to the TWIs. Institutions of higher education are very complex organizations with different roles and missions, serving different student populations, and all have a variety of unmet needs. The Committee found that the terms of the Agreement did not provide sufficient benchmarks to direct state policy. Therefore, the Committee recommends that quantifiable measures be developed to guide future efforts to address remaining areas of special needs. These measures should be appropriate benchmarks to address these areas while taking into consideration the differing roles and missions of institutions and those areas that are not caused primarily by factors external to the institutions and the policy-making bodies of the State.

	FY 2006			FY 2007			FY 2008	~		FY 2009	6
Institution	Total State Funds		One-tim	One-time Expense Ongoing Expense	going Expense		One-time Expense Ongoing Expense	1going Expense		One-time Expense Ongoing Expense	ngoing Expense
Bowie State University	\$ 25,206,952		÷	1,980,077 \$	12,570,758	÷	300,000 \$	ı	÷		I
Coppin State University	24,563,097		1	12,405,500	19,781,500		6,857,500	9,533,380		3,915,000	4,086,350
Morgan State University	54,729,412			·	11,286,400		,	9,505,051		ı	9,731,267
University of Maryland Eastern Shore	26,106,256				7,068,744			4,781,403		'	4,665,883
Total Operating	\$ 130,605,717		\$	14,385,577 \$	50,707,402	÷	7,157,500 \$	23,819,834	÷	3,915,000 \$	18,483,500
Capital Budget Authorizations and Requests	FY 2006										
Bowie State University	\$ 3,500,000										
Coppin State University	51,570,000										
Morgan State University	·										
University of Maryland Eastern Shore	28,424,000										
Total Capital	\$ 83,494,000										
	FY 2010	10		FY 2011				Total FY 2007 - 2011	2007 - 2	011	
Institution	One-time Expense C	Ongoing Expense	One-tim	One-time Expense Ongoing Expense One-time Expense	going Expense	One-t	ime Expense	Ongoing Expense	0	Operating	Cumulative Operating
Bowie State University	• • •	، ج	÷	•		÷	2,280,077 \$	12,570,758	÷	14,850,835 \$	65,133,867
Coppin State University	1,500,000	1,140,000		469,775			25,147,775	34,541,230		59,689,005	151,580,070
Morgan State University		11,229,744			11,713,155			53,465,617		53,465,617	157,818,648
University of Maryland Eastern Shore		3,966,087			3,077,947			23,560,064		23,560,064	79,477,102
Total Operating	\$ 1,500,000 \$	\$ 16,335,831	\$	469,775 \$	14,791,102	÷	27,427,852 \$	124,137,669	÷	151,565,521 \$	454,009,687
Capital Budget Authorizations and Requests											
Bowie State University									÷	34,883,832	
Coppin State University										332,267,821	
Morgan State University										279,927,000	
University of Maryland Eastern Shore										136,765,000	
Total Canital									ł		

Notes: Operating funds reflects funding as presented in institutional FY 2007-2011 Enhancement Funding Requests Changes in ongoing operating funds reflects increases or decreases over prior year budget. Capital funding reflects estimates of needs by year. Totals reflect requests as presented in institutional requests. Morgan State University's total operating will be reduced by utition increases and Access and Success Grant funds.

# Table Ia. Bowie State University Maryland OCR Partnership Agreement Enhancement Request: FY 2007 - FY 2011

		FY 2007	μ		FY 2008		E	FY 2009		FY 2010			FY 2011				Total	-	
Request	One-tir	One-time Expense	Ongoing Expense	One-	One-time Expense	Ongoing Expense	One-time Expense	Ongoing nse Expense	One-tirr	One-time Expense	Ongoing Expense	One-time Expense		Ongoing Expense	One-time	One-time Expense	Ongoing Expense	Cumulative Operating	Capital
Build Faculty - Doctoral Granting Institution	s		\$ 3.861.000	s	- 5		s	s -	s		,	s	- 5		s	- 5	3.861.000	\$ 19.305.000	
Provide Staff and Graduate Students		,	106,000			'				,						,	106,000	530,000	
Grant Staff Conversion		•	48,000		,	'					'		,	•			48,000	240,000	
Add Nursing Staff			160,000								'			•			160,000	800,000	
Counseling Staff Additions			90,000		,					,	'			,			90,000	450,000	
Wellness Center Medical and Support Staff		•	63,000		,	'					'		,	•			63,000	315,000	
Information Technology Staff		•	1,863,914		'					,	'			•		,	1,863,914	9,319,570	
Academic Support Services			423,858											,			423,858	2,119,290	
Student Leadership Development			30,000			'							,				30,000	150,000	
Professional Development		,	1,446,000			'				,	'		,	•		,	1,446,000	7,230,000	
Student Affairs' Staff Development		,	10,000			'				,	'		,	•		,	10,000	50,000	
Sub-total	s	1	\$ 8,101,772	s	\$ '		s	- \$	- \$	•		s			ŝ		8,101,772	\$ 40,508,860 \$	'
Information Technology	s		\$ 1,936,086	ŝ	- \$		s		s		,	Ŷ	\$	,	s	- \$	9,680,430	\$ 9,680,430	
Wellness Center		,	80,000			'				,	'		,	•		,	400,000	400,000	
Commuter Affairs		'	150,000			'					'			•			750,000	750,000	
External Relations		'	856,900			'					'			•			4,284,500	4,284,500	
Sub-Total	s	1	\$ 3,022,986	s			s	· s	- \$	- \$		s	- \$	•	s	- \$	15,114,930	\$ 15,114,930 \$	
Information Technology Hardware and Software	s		\$ 1,446,000	\$	· s		s	\$	s.	s.		s	s .		s		7,230,000	\$ 7,230,000	
Library Resources		1.370,077								,	'		,	•	1	.370,077	'	1,370,077	
Student Affairs Computer Systems		10,000	'			'				•	'		,	•		10,000	'	10,000	
Document Imaging		250,000			300,000	'					'			•		550,000		550,000	
Facilities Management Computer Systems		70,000				'		,		,	,			•		70,000		70,000	
Grounds Maintenance		85,000	'		,	'		•		,	,		,	•		85,000	•	85,000	
Police Communications Systems		100,000				'		,		,	,			•		100,000		100,000	
Police Vehicles		75,000				'		,		,	,			•		75,000		75,000	
Police Records Management System		20,000				'		,		,	,			•		20,000		20,000	
Sub-Total	s	1,980,077	\$ 1,446,000	s	300,000 \$		s	· s	- s	- \$	•	s	\$	,	\$ 2	2,280,077 \$	7,230,000	\$ 9,510,077 \$	,
Total Operating	÷	1,980,077	\$ 12,570,758	\$	300,000 \$		÷	\$\$	\$	\$		\$	\$ '		\$	2,280,077 \$	\$ 30,446,702 \$	\$ 65,133,867	
Capital Project Equipment	\$	1,883,732		\$			s	s '	ŝ	, \$		ŝ	ŝ		\$ 1,	1,883,732 \$		, , S	1,883,732
Nursing Education, Clinic and Wellness Center Total Canital	\$	- 1883 132		~	25,000,000 25,000,000 \$		8,000,000 \$ 8,000,000 \$		, ,			\$			33	33,000,000 34 883 732 \$			33,000,000
	•	and topola	2	•	+ postporter		atomin d	•	•	•		>	>		- -	+		, ,	

Note: Orgoning will be added to the base budget and are expected to continue beyond PY 2011. Operating and Capital final statist do not conclude with totals on institutional request the to differences in classification.

Table 1b. Coppin State University Maryland OCR Partnership Agreement Enhancement Request: FY 2007 - FY 2011 FY 2007

InterfactorResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and and andResults and andResults and and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults and andResults andResults and andResults andResults and andResults andResults andAnd and<	Interfactor         Description         Returning	FY 2007	FY 2007	107	1	FY 2008		FY 2009	60	FY 2010	010		FY 2011			Total	_	
	Unit Training         Submit Subm		One-time Expense	Recurring Expense <sup>1</sup>	One-time E		tecurring Expense	One-time Expense	Recurring Expense	One-time Expense	Recurring Expense	One-time Ext			ne-time xpense	Recurring Expense	Canital	Cumulative Increase to Operating
Understations         3000         3000         9000	Um Them the intermediation beam of the intermediatintermediation beam of the intermediation beam of the in																	•
Interfaciency (interfacione)         3000         3000         1000           Interfacione (interfacione)         0000         1000 <td< td=""><td>Unsublication differences         000         0000         10000           Unsublication differences         000         0000         10000           RTsurg to forcisation         000         0000         0000         0000           RTsurg to forcisation         0000         0000         0000         0000         0000           Streng to forcisation         0000<td></td><td></td><td>250,000</td><td></td><td></td><td>100,000</td><td></td><td>93,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>s</td><td>443,000</td><td>s</td><td>1,929,000</td></td></td<>	Unsublication differences         000         0000         10000           Unsublication differences         000         0000         10000           RTsurg to forcisation         000         0000         0000         0000           RTsurg to forcisation         0000         0000         0000         0000         0000           Streng to forcisation         0000 <td></td> <td></td> <td>250,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100,000</td> <td></td> <td>93,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>s</td> <td>443,000</td> <td>s</td> <td>1,929,000</td>			250,000			100,000		93,000						s	443,000	s	1,929,000
Understand formation for the stand for the stand for the stand formation form	Mathematication (calculation)         Ma	Monitor Institutional Effectiveness	30,000	200,000			102,400								30,000	302,400		1,409,600
mutual matrix mutual	Mutuality of Origination Constrained and the constrained of Origination Constrained Origination Constraine	Urban Education Corridor		200,000			150,000									350,000		1,600,000
Thrange conditionation (1)         000         100 </td <td>INTERPRETATION         000         700           Standard Control         000         5000         50000         5000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1/0,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1000/001</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7 10,000</td> <td></td> <td>000,062,1</td>	INTERPRETATION         000         700           Standard Control         000         5000         50000         5000			1/0,000			1000/001									7 10,000		000,062,1
Offer         State         State <th< td=""><td>and the formation of the formation</td><td>Query/In Vision &amp; HR Training for Office Staff Security Sections for Confirme</td><td>60,000</td><td></td><td></td><td>70,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>130,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	and the formation of the formation	Query/In Vision & HR Training for Office Staff Security Sections for Confirme	60,000			70,000									130,000			
Mathematic matrix mat	ato it it human and an analysis and a sector of the sector	Jumpha 20 or old fumiture in Admin & Einness	20,000			000									000'01			
and Michaeling and Michaelin	Submitting         Submitting         Submitting         Submitting           Submitting	Operate 20 yr ord furfitture in Auffur & Finance Unorade to Hat Panel Monitors	000,05			noninc									00,000 12,000			
Townset Regime         3000         3000         5 37,00         5 30,00         <	Monte (Fragman         Monte (	Advantising and Marketing Cannaign	14/00/	100.000			50,000		50,000						12,000	000.000		050.000
International control         5         7500         5         7500         5         7500         5         7500         5         7500         5         7500         5         7500         5         7500         5         7500         5         7500         5         7500         5         7500	International and solution in the second s	Auverusing and Marcung Canipargu Software & Ecvienced		26,000			noninc		nnninc							000'007		0001001
Image: constraint of	Interfactor	Staffing Orange and And		75,000			75 000									150,000		000'06 T
Approx         Sector         Sector<	Methodinal Instructional Science Instructional Science Instruction Instruction Instructional Science Instruction Instructional Scie	ug organization (OEA)	142.000				577.400			s	s	s	s	S	262.000 S	1.761.400		7.943.600
Activation         Section         Sectin         Section         Section	et Proprime         5000         2000         50000         5000         5000																	
Nortrain Table (Nortrain Table)         23000         12000         5000	With Transfraim         2.90,000         1.20,000         50,000	Increase and Enhance Academic Programs																
	Protein stand fictual service         Total Return static fictual service         S2000         S2000         S2000         S2000         S000         S000<	New Tenure Track Faculty		2.309.000			1.250.000		500,000		250.000					4.309.000		18.545.000
Numerical Intersection         Numerical Intersection<	Numblement interpretication         Section         Sec	Professional Staff		750.000			674.000		250.000		250.000					1.924.000		7.696.000
main Lineary Strong         S000         0000         5000 </td <td>cumulation         second         cumulation         second         cumulation         cumulation</td> <td>Non-Fxempt staff /clerical</td> <td></td> <td>1 000 000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>750.000</td> <td></td> <td>200.000</td> <td></td> <td>300.000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2 640 000</td> <td></td> <td>10.280.000</td>	cumulation         second         cumulation         second         cumulation	Non-Fxempt staff /clerical		1 000 000			750.000		200.000		300.000					2 640 000		10.280.000
main Returnin Records:         0000         main Returnin Records:         0000         main Returnin Records:         0000         main Returnin Records:         main Returnin Returnin Records:         main Returnin Returnin Records:         main Returnin Returni Returnin Returni Returnin Returnin Returnin Returni Returnin Retur	initial Relation Resonance Intervention Resonance Interventintervention Resonance Intervention Resonance Intervent	Enhance Information Literacy Services	200.000	100.000	s	200.000	75 000		25,000		nonin r				1 000 000	000.000		875 000
Interdiction         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,00         37,000	and considencies         37,000         37,000         5,000         5,167,000         5,000         5,167,000         5,000         5,167,000         5,000         5,167,000         5,000         5,167,000         5,000         5,167,000         5,000         5,167,000         5,000         5,167,000         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,1700         5,000         5,000         5,000         5,000         5,000         5,00000         5,	Recruitment and Retention Resources	800,000	nontro t	, v	500.000	ponto i	500.000	00010-						1 800.000	000000		-
Instruction control from the contrecenter from the control from the control from the	memoranous         30000         30000         3         30000	Create New Schools (Centers	noninno	337,000		noninno	300.000	mainne	300.000						noninnni I	037.000		3 785 000
unstant mediation         matched mark solution         matched mark solution <th< td=""><td>unstantional feature         S         1,30,000         S         2,30,000         S         2,30,000         S         3,30,000         S</td></th<> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0001022</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0000020</td> <td></td> <td>0000001</td> <td></td> <td>000.03</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>00010211</td> <td></td> <td>00000012</td>	unstantional feature         S         1,30,000         S         2,30,000         S         2,30,000         S         3,30,000         S			0001022			0000020		0000001		000.03					00010211		00000012
National Stational Stat	Distantial (Subtruction)	Instructional Resources	1 300 000				3 200,000	500.000	-	2		2	3	3	2 000 000 5			46 331 000
Putation (Subart Life)         36,000         30000         50,000         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         51,700         50,000         51,700         50,000         51,700         50,000         51,700         50,000         51,710         50,000         51,710         50,000         51,710         50,000         51,710         50,000	Proteination (Student Lie)         39,000         30,000         50,000         51,700         50,000         51,700         50,000         51,700         50,000	- T 0440	noninnri I				nontrotte	motoria				,	2	•				popt Forton
Programs and Equipment         75000         100000         59000         21,300         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         21,000         20,000         21,000         20,000         21,000         20,000         21,000         20,000         21,000         20,000         21,000         20,000         21,000         20,000         21,000         21,000         20,000         21,000	Drogenes on Equipment         750,00         100,000         531,000         511,0000         511,000         511,000 </td <td>Staffing/Organization (Student Life)</td> <td></td> <td>396.000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>300.000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>696.000</td> <td></td> <td>3.180.000</td>	Staffing/Organization (Student Life)		396.000			300.000									696.000		3.180.000
Figlifies Manigation Instant Manigation Parket Manigation Instant Machines Manigation Mathet Machines Manigation (Mathet and Weaket Mathet Machines) (Mathet Angle Mathet Machines) (Mathet Angle Mathet Machines) (Mathet Angle Mathet Machines) (Mathet Angle Mathet M	Facilities Management         Southor         Southor </td <td>Programs and Equipment</td> <td>750.000</td> <td></td> <td>1.0</td> <td>000.000</td> <td>750.000</td> <td>640.000</td> <td>521.700</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.640.000</td> <td>1.271.700</td> <td></td> <td>4.565.100</td>	Programs and Equipment	750.000		1.0	000.000	750.000	640.000	521.700						1.640.000	1.271.700		4.565.100
		Facilities Management					500.000		291.583							791.583		2.874.749
ort (Merit and Neel base)         100,000         50,0000         50,000         50,000 <td>art Meri Faad Neer Faad Ne</td> <td>Public Safety Staff</td> <td></td> <td>1.500.000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>484.000</td> <td></td> <td>200.000</td> <td></td> <td>200.000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2,384,000</td> <td></td> <td>10.436.000</td>	art Meri Faad Neer Faad Ne	Public Safety Staff		1.500.000			484.000		200.000		200.000					2,384,000		10.436.000
vice for barden Accounding 12,000 12,000 12,000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 12,000 12,000 12,000 10,000 1	vice for Student Accounting planet and Equipment 121000 4000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5 151333 5 5 5 000 5 0000 5 0000 5 0000 5 0000 5 0000 5 0000 5 0000 5 0000 5 0000 5 0000 5 0000 5 0000 5 0000 5 0 0000 0 100000 5 0 0000 0 100000 5 0 0000 0 100000 5 0 0000 0 100000 0 100000 5 0 0000 0 100000 5 0 0000 0 100000 5 0 0000 0 100000 0 0 00000 0 100000 0 0 00000 0 0 00000 0 0 00000 0 0	Scholarship Support (Merit and Need based)		1.000.000			500.000		500.000							2.000.000		8.500.000
Interference and Equipment         12,000         6,000         5,000         2,5,000         2,5,000         2,5,1,000         2,5,0,0,000         2,5,0,0,00         2,5,0,0,00         2,5,0,0,00         2,5,0,0,00         2,5,0,0,00         2,5,0,0,00         2,5,0,0,00         2,5,0,0,00         2,5,0,0,00         2,5,0,0,00         2,5,0,0,00         2,5,0,0,00         2,5,0,0,0         2,5,0,0,0         2,5,0,0,0         2,5,0,0,0         2,5,0,0,0	cplace rectant         125,000         4000         50,000         5,534,000         5,536,000         5,5	Consulting Services for Student Accounts	100.000												100.000			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Dycare Fielly         31,000         25,0000         0         24,00000         5         1,000,000         5         2,000,000         5         2,000,000         5         2,000,000         5         2,000,000         5         2,000,000         5         2,000,000         5         2,000,000         5         2,000,000         5         2,000,000         5         2,000,000         5	Furniture Replacement and Equipment	125.000	40.000		50.000		25.000							200.000	40.000		200.000
up         3         1,00000         5         1,80,000         5         1,53,330         5         1,53,130         5         1,53,130         5         1,53,1000         5         1,53,1000         5         1,53,1000         5         1,53,1000         5         1,53,1000         5         1,53,10000         1,53,10000         1,53,1000	Internation Support         5         1,000,00         5         1,80,000         5         2,33,100         5         2,33,100         5         2,33,100         5         2,33,100         5         2,33,100         5         2,33,100         5         2,33,100         5         2,00,000         5	Davcare Facility	31 000	250.000											31 000	250,000		1 250 000
Re of Information Support         556,067         S66,067         S66,067         S66,067         S100         1.373,000	Re of Information Support         1500,000         1500,000         1500,000         586,061         58						2.534.000	665,000			s	s		- s	1.971.000 S		s - s	31,005,849
Including Environment         150000         53000         58607         58607         100000         50000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         10000	Icon         120000         687500         120000         58607         58677         58677         58677	Connect the Campus																
New Information Systems         687,500         305,200         500,000	low Information Signation (197, 200	Enhance the Office of Information Support		1,500,000			1,250,000		586,067							3,336,067		14,258,201
New Information System         4.02.000         1.000.000         5.000.	New Information Systems         4.02.500         1.000.000         5.000.00         5.000	Create Proactive Learning Environments	687,500	205,000	ę	587,500	100,000								1,375,000	305,000	26,750,000	1,425,000
initial         5         4,712,60         5         3,687,500         5         1,850,000         5         755,667         5         1,000,000         5         5         5         5         1,400,000           Suffige/Gamization (A)         750,00         3         3,64,60         3	ind         5         4,712.500         5         3.687.500         5         3.690.000         5         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3         3.690.000         3.690.000         <	Expand and Add New Information Systems	4,025,000	1,000,000	3,6	000'000	500,000	2,000,000	169,000	1,000,000					10,025,000	1,669,000	5,800,000	7,507,000
Bulling Organization (A)         75000         316,460         316,471         316,460         316,460<	Bulling Organization (A)         75000         803,300         316,460         406,000           risk. Supplex. Flaupment         75,000         803,300         316,460         400,000         400,000         400,000         400,000         400,000         400,000         400,000         400,000         400,000         400,000         400,000         400,000         415,000         811,520         750,000         811,520         800,000         400,000 <t< td=""><td></td><td>4,712,500</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,850,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>s</td><td>- 5</td><td>s -</td><td>11,400,000 S</td><td></td><td>\$ 32,550,000 \$</td><td>23,190,201</td></t<>		4,712,500				1,850,000					s	- 5	s -	11,400,000 S		\$ 32,550,000 \$	23,190,201
Shifting Organization (L)         75(000         316,460         316,477         316,470         316,47	0000 316,400 500 0000 1,000,000 811,520 5500 8 1,000,000 8 1,222,980 8 750,000 8 5 500,000 8 499,775 5500 8 1,000,000 8 1,222,980 8 750,000 8 5 1,140,000 8 499,775 1,500 8 6,857,500 8 3,915,000 8 4,567,50 8 4,967,75 1,500 10 10,000,000 10,12,224,980 10,12,020,000 10,144,000	Strengthen the Financial Base																
Materials. Support         75,000         803,000         145,000         75,000	5.00         145,000         1	Staffing/Organization (IA)		750,000			316,460									1,066,460		5,015,840
Det Streine Relation         4,000,000         145,000         145,000         145,000         150,000         150,000         150,000         150,000         150,000         150,000         150,000         150,000         150,000         150,000         170,070         770,070         700,000         170,070         770,070<	0000 145,000 145,000 145,000 145,000 811,520 750,000 5 00,000 6 469,775 1500 5 1,000,000 5 1,272,980 5 750,000 5 0 500,000 5 469,775 1500 5 6,857,500 5 9,533,380 5 3,915,000 5 1,500,000 5 1,140,000 5 469,775 1500 10 10 minion Technology (TT, Evenual Affairs (CEA), Planning Accordination: (PA), Sudart Like (SL)	Materials, Supplies, Equipment	75,000	803,500											75,000	803,500		4,017,500
schrifter Orbitation (AF) 250,000 145,000 145,000 145,000 145,000 145,000 145,000 145,000 145,000 145,000 145,000 145,000 145,000 145,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 11800,000 11800,000 11800,000 11800,000 11800,000 11800,000 145,75 7,79,75 7,79,775 7,79,775 7,79,775 7,79,775 7,79,775 7,79,775 7,79,775 7,75,755 7,75,775 7,757	0.000         145,000         145,000         750,000         500,000         600,000         811,520         750,000         500,000         607,750           0.000         8         1,272,980         8         750,000         8         1,070,000         8         1,070,000         8         1,070,000         8         409,775           1.500         5         6,857,500         5         3,915,000         5         1,600,000         5         1,400,000         5         409,775           entert (0.1) finformation Technology (TT), External Afrilary (CE)A, Planning Accreditation; (PA), Student Life (SL)         5         409,775	Debt Service Relief		4,000,000												4,000,000		20,000,000
orig Boulsass Process Retruigatories, 50,000 Business Process Retruigatories, 120,000 Construct and Renovaire Facilities 5,000,000 1,000,000 811520 750,000 500,000 469.775 7,19,775 OCR Recommended Auxiliany Project (see amachinania) (see amachina	0.000 1.000.000 811.520 750.000 500.00	Staffing/Organization (AF)		250,000			145,000									395,000		1,830,000
Business Process Recruptinetring         120,000         120,000         120,000         120,000         120,000         120,000         120,000         120,000         120,000         120,000         120,000         120,000         730,000         730,000         500,000         469,775         7,719,7	000         1,000,000         811,520         750,000         800,000         409,775           mm0         5,500         5         750,000         5         1,272,980         5         740,000         5         4,99,775           1,500         5         6,837,500         5         9,15,000         5         4,08,350         5         1,140,000         5         4,69,775           1,500         5         6,337,500         5         3,915,000         5         4,08,350         5         1,140,000         5         4,69,775           1,500         5         6,337,500         5         3,915,000         5         4,08,7350         5         1,140,000         5         4,69,775           carental (0,). Information Technology (TT), Evental Affairs, (CE), Planning Acceditation; (PA), Student Life (SL)         5         1,140,000         5         4,69,775	Enhancing PeopleSoft Timesheet/budget check	50,000												50,000			
Construct and Renovae Fealities         5,000,000         1,000,000         811,520         750,000         500,000         469,775         7,19,775           OCK Recommended Auxiliary Projects         (see anterhinerity)         (see anterhinerity)         5,000,000         1,000,000         1,071,9775         7,19,775         7,19,775           OCK Recommended Auxiliary Projects         (see anterhinerity)         5,1,000,05         1,272,980         5,700,005         5,50,000         5,50,000         5,50,500         5,705,500         5,706,575         5,706,575         5,706,575         5,706,575         5,706,4775	0.000         1.000,000         811,520         750,000         500,000         499,775         499,775           a.s.00         5         1.000,000         8         1.272,980         5         750,000         5         5         500,000         5         499,775           1.500         5         6.857,500         5         3,915,000         5         4,086,330         5         1,140,000         5         4,09,775           ensent (0.1) finformation Technology (TT), External Affairs (CEA), Planning Accreditation; (PA), Student Life (SL)         5         4,09,775         4,09,775		120,000												120,000			
200,000 1.800,000 1.000,000 1.22,000 2.02,000 2.	DAM0         1,00,000         811,5.00         750,000         5,00,000         811,5.00         760,000         5         1,272,980         5         750,000         5         1,272,980         5         750,000         5         1,272,980         5         750,000         5         1,270,000         5         1,200,000         5         1,400,000         5         1,400,000         5         1,400,000         5         1,400,000         5         4,09,775           1,500         5         6,587,500         5         9,515,000         5         4,086,330         5         1,400,000         5         4,09,775           1,500         5         6,887,500         5         9,515,000         5         4,086,330         5         1,400,000         5         4,09,775           1,500         5         6,887,500         5         9,515,000         5         4,09,776         5         4,09,775           1,500         6         8,775,00         5         9,150,000         5         1,500,000         5         1,400,700         5         4,09,775           1,500         1,500         5         4,086,330         5         1,500,000         5         1,409,776         5 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>									000								
(secatachimen) (secat	mail 5,500 S 1,000,000 S 1,272,980 S 750,000 S - S 500,000 S - S 409,775 1,500 S 6,857,500 S 9,533,380 S 3,915,000 S 4,086,350 S 1,500,000 S 1,140,000 S 469,775 	Construct and Renovate Facilities		1,800,000	1,0	000'000	811,520	750,000		500,000		469	,775		7,719,775	2,611,520	160,217,821	12,246,080
5 5.245.000 5 7.603.500 5 1.00000 5 1.12.2980 5 7 5 7.50.000 5 - 5 5.00.000 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7.54.775 5 - 5 7.94.75 5 - 5 7.05.75	3,3,00         \$         1,000,000         \$         1,272,990         \$         750,000         \$         \$         500,000         \$         \$         \$         69,775           1,500         \$         6,887,500         \$         9,155,000         \$         4,086,350         \$         1,140,000         \$         4,09,775           cannot for the involve of the invo	OCR Recommended Auxiliary Projects	(see	8													139,500,000	
	1,500         S         6,857,500         S         9,533,380         S         3,915,000         S         4,086,350         S         1,140,000         S         4,69,775           content (A); Information Technology (IT); External Afritis (OEA); Planning Accreditation; (PA); Suddert Life (SL)          4,69,775         4,69,775						1,272,980		s -		s	S 469		- s	7,964,775 S	8,876,480	S 299,717,821 S	43,109,420
	cement (			\$ 19,781,500		357,500 S	9,533,380	3,915,000				S 469		s .	24,397,775 S	34,541,230	\$ 332,267,821 \$	151,580,070
Note: Reserving exercises will be added to the hose budded and are exercised to continue beyond FY 2011.	cement (	Note: Recurring expenses will be added to the base bud get and are ex-	nected to continue hevor	rd FY 2011.														
		Legend: Academic Affairs (AA); Administration/Finance (AF); Capit.	d Planning (CP); Institut	tional Advancement.	(IA); Information	Technology (I)	T): External Affair.	s (OEA); Planning Accre	ditation; (PA); Stude	t Life (SL)								

\$ 34,541,230 \$ 34,541,230 \$ 33,401,230 \$ 29,314,880 \$ 19,781,500 Cumulative Increase to Operating

\$ 151,580,070

Table 1c. University of Maryland Eastern Shore Maryland OCR Partnership Agreement Enhancement Request: FY 2007 - FY 2011

		FY 2007		FY 2008	ц	FY 2009		FY 2010		FY 2011		Total	_	
Request		Ongoing Expense		Ongoing Expense	H	Ongoing Expense		Ongoing Expense		Ongoing Expense		Ongoing Expense	Capital	Cumulative Operating
Strengthen Graduation and Retention Rates	÷	1,500,000	÷	75,000	÷	78,250	÷	82,687	÷	86,822	÷	1,822,759		\$ 8,286,946
Accommodate Enrollment Growth and Retention of Contingent/Adjunct Employment		895,000		850,000		725,000		700,000		650,000		3,820,000		12,100,000
New Academic Program Stabilization and Development		1,588,494		987,653		725,358		650,150		475,950		4,427,605		15,845,406
Provide Support for the Institutional Development Function		325.250		118,500		98.275		75,000		65.000		682,025		2.610.075
Maintain Facility Improvements		1,025,000		1,260,000		1,487,000		998,000		750,000		5,520,000		17,372,000
Technology Enhancements		785,000		565,250		797,000		735,250		350,175		3,232,675		10,397,675
Student Financial Aid		950,000		925,000		755,000		725,000		700,000		4,055,000		12,865,000
Total Operating	÷	7,068,744	÷	4,781,403	÷	4,665,883	\$	3,966,087	÷	3,077,947	÷	23,560,064 \$		\$ 79,477,102
Capital Project A viation Science & Engineering	÷		÷		÷		÷		÷		÷		56 440 000	
New Pharmacy Building		'		'				'						
Early Childhood Research Center		'		'		,		'		,			8,675,000	
New Farm Support Buildings		'						'		'		'	10,430,000	
Renovate Arts and Technology Buildings		'						'		'		'	13,545,000	
Renovate Wilson Hall		'								'			6,235,000	
Renovate J.T. Williams Admin. Building		'				'		'		'		,	11,510,000	
Aquaculture/Wildlife Unit Building		'						'		ı		·	14,835,000	
Total Capital	Ś	,	÷	,	÷	,	Ś	,	÷	,	÷	÷	136,765,000	

Note: Ongoing will be added to the base budget and are expected to continue beyond FY 2011.

Cumulative Increase to Operating

\$ 7,068,744 \$ 11,850,147 \$ 16,516,030 \$ 20,482,117 \$ 23,560,064 \$ 79,477,102

Table 1d. Morgan State University Maryland OCR Partnership Agreement Enhancement Request: FY 2007 - FY 2011

		FY 2007		FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011			Total	
Request		Ongoing Expense		Ongoing Expense	Ongoing Expense	Ongoing Expense	Ongoing Expense	0 H	Ongoing Expense	Cumulative Operating	Capital
Maintain Competitive Salaries Inflation to Prevent Erosion of Base Budget Fiult-Time Faculty Contineent Ammoridae for Doctoral	Ś	1,506,120 1,119,385	\$	1,575,306 1,410,000	\$ 1,654,071 1,620,000	\$ 1,736,775 1,830,000	<pre>\$ 1,823,614 2,040,000</pre>	÷	8,295,886 8,019,385	\$ 24,091,201 21,796,925	
dramatic reactory contraction repropriate to pocosta Granting Institution Increase Full-time Staff Positions Preserve Facility Improvements		2,536,800 735,760 1,117,900		2,549,539 1,100,206 500,000	2,941,371 1,242,075 490,000	3,408,741 1,351,540 475,000	3,970,174 1,547,587 469,958	-	15,406,625 5,977,168 3,052,858	42,493,925 16,056,516 10,479,458	
Support Essential IT Hardware and Software Upgrades		200,000		200,000	150,000	100,000	100,000		750,000	2,550,000	
Estabulish the Lephanty to better Communicate University Offerings to Maryland Residents Equip New Facilities Coming On Line		200,000 1,020,000		200,000 600,000	200,000	200,000 630,000	200,000		1,000,000 2,250,000	3,000,000 8,760,000	
Acquire the Requisite Library Resources Consistent with the Needs of a Doctoral Granting Institution Provide Financial Aid for Needy Students and Advanced		100,000		150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000		700,000	2,000,000	
Degree Development Improve Graduation and Retention Rates Total Operating	\$	1,250,435 1,500,000 <b>11,286,400</b>	÷	1,145,000 75,000 <b>9,505,051</b>	1,205,000 78,750 <b>\$ 9,731,267</b>	1,265,000 82,688 <b>\$ 11,229,744</b>	1,325,000 86,822 <b>\$ 11,713,155</b>	<del>به</del>	6,190,435 1,823,260 <b>53,465,617</b>	18,302,175 8,288,448 <b>\$ 157,818,648</b>	
Capital Project Center for Built Environment Center for Graduate Studies	\$	37,931,000 18.184.000	\$		، ، ج	•	 	S		ۍ ۱ ۱	37,931,000 18.184.000
Jenkins Behavioral and Social Science Center New Hospitality Management Complex				30,093,000 52.954.000							30,093,000 52,954,000
Student Services Facility Business School				тт	26,264,000 39.638.000						26,264,000 39.638.000
Convert McMechen Hall for the Sciences Incubator Center for Technology Transfer						16,115,000 20,407,000					16,115,000 20,407,000
Administration Building <b>Total Capital</b>	⇔	- 56,115,000	\$	- \$ 83,047,000	- \$ 65,902,000	- \$ 36,522,000	38,341,000 \$ 38,341,000	÷		\$ 1 1 \$	38,341,000 <b>279,927,000</b>

Note: Ongoing will be added to the base budget and are expected to continue beyond FY 2011.

Institution		FY 2001	FY 2002		FY 2003	F	FY 2004	FY 2005	ł	Appropriation <sup>1</sup> FY 2006
HBIs										
Bowie State University	$\mathbf{S}$	21,310,546 \$	22,724,961	S	21,885,449 \$		20,712,299 \$	21,006,128	,128 \$	22,194,416
Coppin State University		18,623,000	20,513,150		19,755,345		18,693,564	19,068,318	,318	20,731,914
UM Eastern Shore		22,474,219	23,564,429		22,693,902		21,432,854	21,829,549	,549	23,242,923
Morgan State University		47,911,951	52,034,605		51,088,274		48, 187, 846	48,859,634	,634	51,868,549
Total HBIs		110,319,716	118,837,145		115,422,970	Η	109,026,563	110,763,629	,629	118,037,802
TWIs										
Frostburg State University	Ś	26,568,603 \$	28,659,702	Ś	26,302,434 \$		24,408,849 \$	24,838,529	529 \$	26,345,153
Salisbury University		28,100,148	29,499,698		27,324,561		25,442,364	25,995,091	,091	27,477,370
Towson University		64,180,595	68,062,130		62,464,002		57,824,041	58,945,915	,915	62,908,312
Total Comprehensive TWIs		118,849,346	126,221,530		116,090,997	1	107,675,254	109,779,535	,535	116,730,835
St. Mary's College of Maryland	$\mathbf{S}$	13,474,825 \$	14,721,919	S	13,853,271 \$		13,682,871 \$	13,977,883	883 \$	14,592,910
University of Baltimore		23,475,571	24,473,622		22,507,996		20,904,051	21,297,219	,219	22,632,855
UM, Baltimore		139,483,705	153,139,494		141,678,389	1	132,174,751	133,497,622	,622	145,209,868
UM Baltimore County		66,473,513	75,817,613		70,168,162		65,417,441	66,376,510	510	70,252,597
UM Biotechnology Institute		16,244,159	16,468,109		15,518,305		14,896,855	15,028,511	511	17,342,171
UM Center for Env. Science		12,777,374	13,478,721		13,165,523		13,018,726	13,151,93	931	14,006,291
UM, College Park		333,110,408	359,338,977		330,499,300	ω	306,130,518	310,281,793	,793	327,532,890
UM University College		13,512,375	16,928,490		15,552,233		14,469,494	14,633,278	,278	15, 139, 806
USM Office		11,958,142	12,096,139		11,361,600		10,681,242	11,747,293	,293	13,663,682
Total Public	÷	859,679,134 \$	931,521,759	÷	865,818,746 \$	õ	808,077,766 \$	820,535,204	204 \$	875,141,707

Note: 1) Includes COLA Adjustments Sources: Maryland State Operating Budget Books, Department of Budget and Management, Department of Legislative Services, Maryland Higher Education Commission.

Institution	% Change FY 2001-2002	% Change FY 2002-2003	% Change FY 2003-2004	% Change FY 2004-2005	% Change FY 2005-2006	% Change FY 2001-2006
HBIs						
Bowie State University	6.6%	-3.7%	-5.4%	1.4%	5.7%	4.1%
Coppin State University	10.1%	-3.7%	-5.4%	2.0%	8.7%	11.3%
UM Eastern Shore	4.9%	-3.7%	-5.6%	1.9%	6.5%	3.4%
Morgan State University	8.6%	-1.8%	-5.7%	1.4%	6.2%	8.3%
HBI Change	7.7%	-2.9%	-5.5%	1.6%	6.6%	7.0%
TWIs						
Frostburg State University	7.9%	-8.2%	-7.2%	1.8%	6.1%	-0.8%
Salisbury University	5.0%	-7.4%	-6.9%	2.2%	5.7%	-2.2%
Towson University	6.0%	-8.2%	-7.4%	1.9%	6.7%	-2.0%
TWI Change	6.2%	-8.0%	-7.2%	2.0%	6.3%	-1.8%
St. Mary's College of Maryland	9.3%	-5.9%	-1.2%	2.2%	4.4%	8.3%
University of Baltimore	4.3%	-8.0%	-7.1%	1.9%	6.3%	-3.6%
UM, Baltimore	9.8%	-7.5%	-6.7%	1.0%	8.8%	4.1%
UM Baltimore County	14.1%	-7.5%	-6.8%	1.5%	5.8%	5.7%
UM Biotechnology Institute	1.4%	-5.8%	-4.0%	0.9%	15.4%	6.8%
UM Center for Env. Science	5.5%	-2.3%	-1.1%	1.0%	6.5%	9.6%
UM, College Park	7.9%	-8.0%	-7.4%	1.4%	5.6%	-1.7%
UM University College	25.3%	-8.1%	-7.0%	1.1%	3.5%	12.0%
USM Office	1.2%	-6.1%	-6.0%	10.0%	16.3%	14.3%
Public Total	8.4%	-7.1%	-6.7%	1.5%	6.7%	1.8%

**FW 2001** Table 2b. Maryland Public Colleges, Universities and Institutes --Ē C • ξ

Note: 1) Includes COLA Adjustments

Sources: Maryland State Operating Budget Books, Department of Budget and Management, Department of Legislative Services, Maryland Higher Education Commission.

ryland Higher Education General Fund Appropriations Per FTE Student:	6
Table 2c. Maryland Higher Ed	FY 2001 - FY 2006

[	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Bowie State University \$	6,610 \$	6,180 \$	5,738 \$	5,217 \$	5,175 \$	5,208
Coppin State University	6,642	6,865	6,704	6,582	6,283	6,929
UM Eastern Shore	7,447	7,646	6,778	6,572	6,661	6,940
Morgan State University	8,422	8,836	8,529	7,910	7,741	8,969
Frostburg State University	6,180	6,659	5,925	5,421	5,644	5,943
Salisbury University	5,126	5,185	4,645	4,276	4,277	4,432
Towson University	4,936	5,097	4,536	4,264	4,204	4,446
	5,216	5,406	4,819	4,484	4,481	4,710
UM Baltimore County	7,870	8,553	7,697	7,056	7,114	7,514
St. Mary's College of Maryland	8,660	8,665	7,545	6,876	7,006	7,315
University of Baltimore	7,548	7,814	6,862	6,231	6,359	6,358
<b>JM University College</b>	1,306	1,432	1,242	1,082	1,008	911
	26,503	29,169	27,542	25,715	25,467	27,218
UM, College Park	12,527	13,046	11,759	10,835	11,047	11,701
÷	8,819 \$	9,142 \$	8,241 \$	7,576 \$	7,528 \$	7,831
÷	8,819 \$	9,142	÷	\$ 8,241 \$	÷	\$ 7,576 \$

Note: FY 2006 uses Budgeted FTE estimates. Sources: Maryland State Operating Budget Books,

General Fund Appropriations and OCR Enhancement Funds per FTE Student: Table 2d. Maryland's Four-Year Public Colleges and Universities FY 2001- FY 2006

Institution		FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Bowie State University	÷	6,847 \$	6,889 \$	6,351 \$		5,849 \$	5,914
Coppin State University		6,948	7,697	7,817	8,147	7,898	8,210
UM Eastern Shore		7,765	8,400	7,468	7,514	7,409	7,795
Morgan State University		8,574	9,225	8,975	8,611	8,290	9,464
Frostburg State University		6,180	6,659	5,925	5,421	5,644	5,988
Salisbury University		5,126	5,185	4,645	4,276	4,277	4,459
Towson University		4,955	5,100	4,536	4,264	4,204	4,455
TWI Average		5,227	5,408	4,819	4,484	4,481	4,730
St. Mary's College of Maryland		8,749	8,679	7,545	6,876	7,006	7,393
University of Baltimore		7,643	7,831	6,862	6,231	6,359	6,388
UM Baltimore		26,604	29,228	27,542	25,715	25,467	27,259
UM Baltimore County		7,910	8,560	7,697	7,056	7,114	7,698
UM College Park		12,540	13,074	11,759	10,835	11,047	11,709
UM University College		1,317	1,433	1,242	1,082	1,008	921
Public Average	÷	8,878 \$	9,255 \$	8,347 \$	7,720 \$	7,658 \$	7,978

Source: Maryland State Operating Budget Books

					FY 2002-2006	2-20	06				
Institution	HBI Enhancement Grant	Info Tec Inv	Information Technology Investment	AC AC	Access and Success	PD	PDIP Funds		Other <sup>1</sup>	En	Total Enhancement Funds
Bowie State University Coppin State University UM Eastern Shore Morgan State University <b>Total</b>	<ul> <li>\$ 3,676,751</li> <li>\$,281,586</li> <li>3,573,615</li> <li>4,968,047</li> <li>\$ 20,500,000</li> </ul>	<b>5</b>	400,000 400,000 400,000 400,000 1, <b>600,000</b>	<b>↔</b>	7,125,000 7,125,000 7,125,000 7,125,000 <b>28,500,000</b>	↔ <del>\$</del>	439,059 1,293,828 1,290,402 1,385,825 <b>4,409,114</b>	<b>↔                                    </b>	735,000 698,475 - 1,433,475	<b>↔</b>	735,000 \$ 12,375,810 698,475 17,798,889 - 12,389,017 - 13,878,872 433,475 \$ 56,442,589
Notes:											

Table 3. Maryland's Historically Black Public Colleges and Universities **Total Enhancement Funds: FY 2002-2006** 

1) Other includes:

\$350,000 provided to Bowie State University for Master Plan Development in FY 2002,

\$385,000 for Bowie State University in FY 2006 for business incubator project at School of Business through DBED,

\$500,000 to Coppin State University for Revitalization Recommendations in FY 2005. \$198,475 to Coppin State University for Information Technology in FY 2002, and

Sources: Department of Budget and Management: Maryland Higher Education Commission

Table 4. Maryland's Historically Black Public Colleges and Universities HBI Enhancement Grant Funds: FY 2003 - FY 2006

Institution	щ	FY 2003		FY 2004		FY 2005		FY 2006		Total
Bowie State University \$		437,598	$\mathbf{S}$	1,118,799	\$	1,062,755	$\boldsymbol{\diamond}$	1,057,599	S	3,676,751
Coppin State University		1,380,590		2,125,000		2,557,792		2,218,204		8,281,586
Strategic Plan Implementation						1,620,000		1,170,000		
Formula and Debt Service						937,792		1,048,204		
UM Eastern Shore		409,637		850,763		949,882		1,363,333		3,573,615
Morgan State University		772,175		1,405,438		1,429,571		1,360,863		4,968,047
HBI Total \$		3,000,000	÷	5,500,000	÷	6,000,000	÷	6,000,000	S	20,500,000

Source: Maryland Department of Budget and Management, State Operating Budget

Table 5. Maryland Historically Black Public Colleges and UniversitiesAccess and Success Grant Funds: FY 2001-2006

Institution	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2002-2006
Bowie State University Coppin State University UM Eastern Shore Morean State University	\$ 750,000 750,000 750,000 750,000	<pre>\$ 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000</pre>	<pre>\$ 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000</pre>	<pre>\$ 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000</pre>	<pre>\$ 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000</pre>	\$ 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000	<pre>\$ 7,125,000 7,125,000 7,125,000</pre>
HBI Total	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 28,500,000

Source: Maryland Department of Budget and Management, State Operating Budget

Institution	[ Id	FY 2001 PDIP Funds	FY	FY 2002 DIP Funds	FY PDIP	FY 2003 PDIP Funds	[] Id	FY 2004 PDIP Funds	I	FY 2005 PDIP Funds	[ ]	FY 2006 PDIP Funds	PI FY	Total PDIP Funds FY 2002-2006
Historically Black Institutions	÷	13 000	÷	127 C	÷		÷	101 540	÷	177 037	÷	70.036	÷	130.050
	9	707,CI	9	104,4	9	I	9	174,740	9	112,002	9		9	ecu,ect
Coppin State University		107,896		18,904		I		818,684		343,261		112,979		1,293,828
UM Eastern Shore		209,598		36,724		·		718,905		534,773		ı		1,290,402
Morgan State University		114,175		20,004		I		1,365,821		I		I		1,385,825
HBI Total	÷	445,658	∻	78,083	÷	ı	↔	3,097,950	÷	1,050,066	∻	183,015	↔	4,409,114
Other Marvland Institutions														
Frostburg State University	Ś	I	S	I	Ś	ı	Ś	I	S	ı	S	200,211	S	200,211
Salisbury University		I		I		ı		'		ı		167, 472		167,472
Towson University		232,831		40,794		ı		·		ı		127, 167		167,961
University of Baltimore		295,283		51,736		ı		'		'		107,575		159,311
UM Baltimore		134,512		307,885		ı		ı		ı		215,588		523,473
<b>UM Baltimore County</b>		337,663		59,162				·		ı		227,753		286,915
UM Biotechnology Institute		I		ı				I		ı		ı		ı
UM Ctr. for Env. Science		I		I		ı		ı		'		'		ı
UM College Park		334,988		766,755		ı		ı		ı		39,577		806,332
UM University College		114,970		20,144		ı		I		ı		164, 143		184, 287
St. Mary's College of Maryland		138,595		24,283		ı		I		ı		156,731		181,014
Other Institutions Total	∻	1,588,842	€	1,270,759	∻	·	∻	•	∻	•	€	1,406,217	÷	2,676,976
Total	÷	2,034,500	∻	1,348,842	÷		↔	3,097,950	÷	1,050,066	÷	1,589,232	÷	7,086,090

Source: Maryland Department of Budget and Management, State Operating Budget

ole 7. Maryland's Historically Public Colleges and Universities	te Authorizations for Capital Projects: FY 2002 - FY 2006
istorically Public C	r Capital Projects:
le 7. Maryland's Hi	e Authorizations fo

Institution/Project	Υr	FY 2002 Authorization	FY 2003 Authorization	FY 2004 Authorization	FY 2005 Authorization	FY 2006 Authorization	FY 2002-2006 Total
Bowie State University							
*Campuswide Site Improvements	÷	2,700,000 \$	1,300,000 \$	, S	-	· ·	4,000,000
*New Science Building						•	1.200.000
Center for Business & Graduate Studies		-	550.000	949.000	17.550.000	2.000.000	21.049.000
Naw Eine and Darforming Arte Ruilding				 		1 500 000	1 500 000
tive fine and feituring Arts Dunung Equilities Demental Deviates		- 000 211	- 000 284	- 114 000	- 000 287	378.000	000,000,1
radines renewar regens BSU Total	<del>s</del> .	4.317.429 \$	2.337.000 \$	1.363.000 \$	18.037.000 \$	3.878.000 \$	29.932.429
Connin State University	÷						
	ŧ		e	e		e	00 000 0
Mules Connor Administration Building Renovation	A	\$ 000'00C'I		•	\$ 000,628,1	•	5,529,000
New Dining Facilities		3,500,000	1,500,000	•		•	5,000,000
Lutheran Hospital Site Acquisition/Demolition		800,000					800,000
Grace Jacobs Building, Elevator Addition and Upgrade			1	1	3,375,000		3,375,000
New Physical Education Complex/Acquisition		,			2,704,000	3,983,000	6,687,000
Telecommunications/Information Technology Upgrade		3,500,000	2,500,000	1,250,000	2,500,000		9,750,000
Northwest Business Center Property Acquisition				8.000.000			8.000.000
Campuswide Utilities/Security System Improvements				285,000	9.440.000		9.725.000
New Health & Human Services Ruilding			1 100 000	3 750 000		47 587 000	52 437 000
Facilities Renewal Droients		687 714	359.000	305,000	359.000	000,000,070	1 989 714
CSU Total	÷	9.987.714 \$	5.459.000 \$	13.590.000 \$	20,207,000 \$	51,849,000 \$	101.092.714
University of Maryland, Eastern Shore							
*Renovate Waters Dining/Somerset Halls	÷	7,145,000 \$	587,000 \$	÷	•	-	7,732,000
*New Food Science & Technology Center		4,450,000	1,300,000				5,750,000
Utilities Upgrade & Site Improvements			390,000	6,100,000			6,490,000
*New Social Science, Education & Health Science Building		21,600,000	5,365,000	4,729,000	3,063,000		34,757,000
*New Physical Plant/Central Receiving Bldg		6,850,000	972,000	458,000			8,280,000
Facilities Renewal Projects		483,429	714,000	479,000	564,000	438,000	2,678,429
UMES Total	\$	40,528,429 \$	9,328,000 \$	11,766,000 \$	3,627,000 \$	438,000 \$	65,687,429
Morgan State University							
*New Communications Center & Bridge	\$	1,192,000 \$	18,414,000 \$	\$ ;		718,000 \$	20,324,000
Northwood Shopping Center Acquisition/Demolition		300,000			5,700,000		6,000,000
New Center for Built Environmental Studies							
Lillie Carroll Jackson Museum Renovation							
*Science Research Building with Greenhouse		2,750,000	5,810,000				8,560,000
Steam Boiler Replacement & Central Heating Plant			4,110,000				4,110,000
Campuswide Site Improvements		2,252,000		2,275,000	696,000	200,000	5,423,000
New Library		1,962,000		48,968,000		2,952,000	53,882,000
*Montebello E-Wing/Old Power Plant Demolition						920,000	920,000
Campuswide Utilities Upgrade				7,703,000	489,000	510,000	8,702,000
Banneker Hall Renovation/Telecommunication Infra.				900,000	1,367,000	23,124,000	25,391,000
MSU Total	\$	8,456,000 \$	28,334,000 \$	59,846,000 \$	8.252.000 \$	28.424.000 \$	133.312.000

Note(s): \*Projects outlined in the OCR agreement. Includes all funding sources (GO, ARB, GF, and SF).

Capital Improvement Frogram: F1 2007 - F1 2010	0/ - F I 7	0102				
Institutions		FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	Total
Historically Black Institutions						
Bowie State University	S	1,850,000 \$	36,900,000 \$	6,150,000 \$	1,700,000 \$	46,600,000
Coppin State University		12,100,000	68,850,000	6,300,000	45,900,000	133,150,000
University of Maryland Eastern Shore			ı		1,500,000	1,500,000
Morgan State University		14,800,000	13,850,000	32,400,000	6,450,000	67,500,000
HBIs - SubTotal	Ð	28,750,000 \$	119,600,000 \$	44,850,000 \$	55,550,000 \$	248,750,000
Other Maryland Institutions						
Frostburg State University	S	, S	- \$	, S	, S	ı
Salisbury University		44,650,000	2,000,000			46,650,000
Towson University		40,200,000	7,100,000	56,700,000	17,250,000	121, 250, 000
University of Baltimore		ı				ı
University of Maryland Baltimore		7,000,000	2,200,000	2,700,000	43,500,000	55,400,000
University of Baltimore County		I	250,000	3,650,000	4,000,000	7,900,000
University of Maryland College Park		3,350,000	21,600,000	4,850,000	7,850,000	37,650,000
UM Ctr. For Environmental Science		5,800,000	600,000	7,000,000	1,000,000	14,400,000
UM Biotechnology Institute		ı		ı	ı	ı
USM Headquarters		16,000,000	16,200,000	15,000,000	15,000,000	62,200,000
University of MD University College		15,000,000				15,000,000
St. Mary's College		1,950,000	15,100,000	4,150,000	2,700,000	23,900,000
Other - SubTotal	÷	133,950,000 \$	65,050,000 \$	94,050,000 \$	91,300,000 \$	384,350,000
Total	<del>\$</del>	162,700,000 \$	184,650,000 \$	138,900,000 \$	146,850,000 \$	633,100,000

Table 8. Maryland's Four-Year Public Colleges and UniversitiesCapital Improvement Program: FY 2007 - FY 2010

Source: Maryland Department of Budget and Management

Bowie State University	Coppin State University	UM Eastern Shore	Morgan State University
General Funds per FTE	General Funds per FTE	General Funds per FTE	General Funds per FTE
Assistant Professor Average Salary	Assistant Professor Average Salary	Assistant Professor Average Salary	Assistant Professor Average Salary
Academic Space Surplus or Deficiency	Academic Space Surplus or Deficiency	Academic Space Surplus or Deficiency	Academic Space Surplus or Deficiency
E&G Expenditures per FTE		E&G Expenditures per FTE	E&G Expenditures per FTE
PRAXIS Pass Rate	PRAXIS Pass Rate		PRAXIS Pass Rate
	Student/Faculty Ratio	Student/Faculty Ratio	Student/Faculty Ratio
	Student/Student Service Personnel Ratio	Student/Student Service Personnel Ratio	Student/Student Service Personnel Ratio
Full Professor Average Salary	Full Professor Average Salary		Full Professor Average Salary
Associate Professor Average Salary		Associate Professor Average Salary	Associate Professor Average Salary
Academic Space per FTE		Academic Space per FTE	Academic Space per FTE
Average Age of Buildings	Average Age of Buildings	Average Age of Buildings	
		Percentage of Part-time Undergraduate Students	Percentage of Part-time Undergraduate Students
	Average Alumni Giving Rate		Average Alumni Giving Rate
		Percent Part-time Faculty	Percent Part-time Faculty
Academic Library Holdings per FTE		Academic Library Holdings per FTE	
	Crime Statistics per Population	Diversity Index	Number of Buildings Renovated Since 1970

# Table 9. Maryland's Historically Black College and UniversitiesMeasures that are Above Comparable Maryland TWI Averages

Bowie State University	Coppin State University	UM Eastern Shore	Morgan State University
Average SAT Scores	Average SAT Scores	Average SAT Scores	Average SAT Scores
Second Year Retention Rate			
Six-year Graduation Rate	Six-year Graduation Rate	Six-year Graduation Rate	Six-year Graduation Rate
Six-year Graduation Rate for African Americans			
Percent of Students Receiving Federal Grant Aid			
Percent of Faculty with Terminal Degrees			
General Funds as Percent of Educational and General Expenditures			
Funding Guideline Attainment	Funding Guideline Attainment	Funding Guideline Attainment	Funding Guideline Attainment
Diversity Index	Diversity Index		Diversity Index
Crime Statistics per Population		Crime Statistics per Population	Crime Statistics per Population
Number of Buildings Renovated Since 1970	Number of Buildings Renovated Since 1970	Number of Buildings Renovated Since 1970	
Percent of Part-time Undergraduate Students	Percent of Part-time Undergraduate Students		
Percentage of Part-time Faculty	Percentage of Part-time Faculty		
Average Alumni Giving Rate		Average Alumni Giving Rate	
	Academic Library Holdings per FTE		Academic Library Holdings per FTE
Student/Faculty Ratio	Educational and General Expenditures per FTE	Praxis Pass Rate 2003 Test-Takers	
Student to Student Services Personnel Ratio	Associate Professor Average Salary	Full Professor Average Salary	
	Academic Space per FTE		Average Age of Buildings

# Table 10. Maryland's Historically Black College and Universities Measures that are Below Comparable Maryland TWI Averages

\_\_\_\_

# Table 11. Bowie State University and Comparable TWI AverageComparability Measures

Student Profile	Average SAT Scores Fall 2004	Percentage of Part-time Undergraduate Students 2004	Percentage of Full-Time First-Time Degree Seeking Undergraduates Receiving Federal Grant Aid 2003		
Bowie State University	865	20%	39%		
TWI Average	1,070	12%	16%		
Financial Resources	General Funds per FTE FY 2005	General Funds as percent of E&G Expenditures FY 2005	E&G Expenditures per FTE FY 2005	Average Alumni Giving Rate 2003 and 2004	Funding Guideline Attainment 2006
Bowie State University	\$5,175	43%	\$12,188	7%	51%
TWI Average	\$4,481	38%	\$11,678	8%	78%
Student Outcomes	Second Year Retention Rate 2004	Six-year Graduation Rate 1998 Cohort	Six-year Graduation Rate for African Americans 1998 Cohort	Praxis Pass Rate 2003 Takers	
Bowie State University	73%	38%	43%	95%	
TWI Average	80%	58%	53%	94%	
Academic Resources	Student/Faculty Ratio 2005	Percent of Faculty with Terminal Degrees 2005	Percent of Part-time Faculty 2004	Academic Library Holdings per FTE 2002	Student to Student Services Personnel Ratio Fall 2004
Bowie State University	17.50	68%	46%	61	34
TWI Average	17.38	82%	44%	52	29
Faculty Salaries, Diversity and Crime Statistics	Full Professor 2004	Associate Professor 2004	Assistant Professor 2004	Diversity Index 2005 (1.0 Highest)	Crime Statistics per Population 2004
Bowie State University	\$76,777	\$62,042	\$52,559	0.18	0.83%
TWI Average	\$75,208	\$60,482	\$51,308	0.27	0.29%
Space and Facilities	Academic Space per FTE FY 2005	Academic Space Surplus or Deficiency FY 2005	Average Age of Buildings in Years	Number of Buildings Renovated since 1970	
Bowie State University	67	6,453	39	8	
TWI Average	61	(102,598)	40	17	

# Table 12. Coppin State University and Comparable TWI Average Comparability Measures

Student Profile	Average SAT Scores Fall 20034	Percentage of Part-time Undergraduate Students 2004	Percentage of Full-time First-Time Degree Seeking Undergraduates Receiving Federal Grant Aid 2004		
Coppin State University	875	23%	62%		
TWI Average	1,070	12%	16%		
Financial Resources	General Funds per FTE FY 2005	General Funds as percent of E&G Expenditures FY 2005	E&G Expenditures per FTE FY 2005	Average Alumni Giving Rate 2003 and 2004 <sup>1</sup>	Funding Guideline Attainment FY 2006
Coppin State University	\$6,283	57%	\$11,118	21%	69%
TWI Average	\$4,481	38%	\$11,678	8%	78%
Student Outcomes	Second Year Retention Rate 2004	Six-year Graduation Rate 1998 Cohort	Six-year Graduation Rate for African Americans 1998 Cohort	Praxis Pass Rate 2003 Takers	
Coppin State University	69%	32%	27%	100%	
TWI Average	80%	58%	53%	94%	
Academic Resources	Student/Faculty Ratio FY 2005	Percent of Faculty with Terminal Degrees 2005	Percent of Part-time Faculty 2004	Academic Library Holdings per FTE 2002	Student/Student Services Personnel Ratio Fall 2004
Coppin State University	17.34	59%	45%	26	16
TWI Average	17.38	82%	44%	52	29
Faculty Salaries, Diversity and Crime Statistics	Full Professor 2004	Associate Professor 2004	Assistant Professor 2004	Diversity Index 2005 (1.0 Highest)	Crime Statistics per Population 2004
Coppin State University	\$79,250	\$59,323	\$53,353	0.04	0.28%
TWI Average	\$75,208	\$60,482	\$51,308	0.27	0.29%
Space and Facilities	Academic Space per FTE FY 2005	Academic Space Surplus or Deficiency FY 2005	Average Age of Buildings in Years	Number of Buildings Renovated Since 1970	
Coppin State University	58	(16,426)	30	5	
TWI Average	61	(102,598)	40	17	

Note:

1) Data for Coppin reported to US News in prior year.

### Table 13. University of Maryland Eastern Shore and Comparable TWI Average **Comparability Measures**

Student Profile	Average SAT Scores Fall 2004	Percentage of Part-time Undergraduate Students 2002	Percentage of Full-time First-time Degree Seeking Undergraduates Receiving Federal Grant Aid 2004		
University of Maryland Eastern Shore	830	9%	73%		
TWI Average	1,070	12%	16%		
Financial Resources	General Funds per FTE FY 2005	General Funds as percent of E&G Expenditures FY 2005	E&G Expenditures per FTE FY 2005	Alumni Giving Rate 2003 and 2004 <sup>1</sup>	Funding Guideline Attainment FY 2006
University of Maryland Eastern Shore	\$6,661	51%	\$13,079	3%	71%
TWI Average	\$4,481	38%	\$11,678	8%	78%
Student Outcomes	Second Year Retention Rate 2004	Six-year Graduation Rate 1998 Cohort	Six-year Graduation Rate for African Americans 1998 Cohort	Praxis Pass Rate 2003 Test-Takers	
University of Maryland Eastern Shore	69%	44%	50%	45%	
TWI Average	80%	58%	53%	94%	
Academic Resources	Student/Faculty Ratio FY 2005	Percent of Faculty with Terminal Degrees 2005 <sup>2</sup>	Percent of Part-time Faculty 2004	Academic Library Holdings per FTE 2002	Student/Student Services Personnel Ratio Fall 2004
University of Maryland Eastern Shore	16.08	46%	31%	76	26
TWI Average	17.38	82%	44%	52	29
Faculty Salaries, Diversity and Crime Statistics	Full Professor Average Salary 2004	Associate Professor Average Salary 2004	Assistant Professor Average Salary 2004	Diversity Index 2005 <sup>1</sup> (1.0 Highest)	Crime Statistics per Population 2004
UMES	\$72,647	\$64,394	\$53,716	0.38	1.54%
TWI Average	\$75,208	\$60,482	\$51,308	0.27	0.29%
Space and Facilities	Academic Space per FTE FY 2005	Academic Space Surplus or Deficiency FY 2005	Average Age of Buildings in Years	Number of Buildings Renovated Since 1970	
UMES	114	17,238	32	13	
TWI Average	61	(102,598)	40	17	

Note(s): 1) Data as reported to Council for Aid to Education 2004. 2) UMES did not complete the 2005 survey. The figure shown is for 2003.

# Table 14. Morgan State University, Comparable TWI Average, and University of Maryland Baltimore County Comparability Measures

Student Profile	Average SAT Scores Fall 2004	Percentage of Part-time Undergraduate Students 2004	Percentage of Full-time First- time Undergraduates Receiving Federal Grant Aid 2004		
Morgan State University	905	11%	47%		
TWI Average	1,070	12%	16%		
UM Baltimore County	1,220	16%	17%		
Financial Resources	General Funds per FTE Student FY 2005	General Funds as percent of E&G Expenditures FY 2005	E&G Expenditures per FTE FY 2005	Alumni Giving Rate 2003 and 2004	Funding Guideline Attainment FY 2006
Morgan State University	\$7,741	49%	\$15,828	10%	74%
TWI Average	\$4,481	38%	\$11,678	8%	78%
UM Baltimore County	\$7,114	39%	\$18,237	6%	64%
Student Outcomes	Second Year Retention Rate 2004	Six-year Graduation Rate 1998 Cohort	Six-year Graduation Rate for African Americans 1998 Cohort	Praxis Pass Rate 2003 Takers	
Morgan State University	73%	40%	42%	100%	
TWI Average	79%	58%	53%	94%	
UM Baltimore County	82%	55%	64%	94%	
Academic Resources	Student/Faculty Ratio 2005	Percent of Faculty with Terminal Degrees 2005	Percent of Part-time Faculty 2003	Academic Library Holdings per FTE 2002	Student to Student Services Personnel Ratio 2004
Morgan State University	15.25	76%	21%	50	14
TWI Average	17.38	82%	46%	52	29
UM Baltimore County	17.17	89%	27%	N/A	20
	20	04 Average Faculty Salaries	by Rank		
Faculty Salaries, Diversity and Crime Statistics	Full Professor	Associate Professor	Assistant Professor	Diversity Index 2005 (1.0 Highest)	Crime Statistics per Population 2004
Morgan State	\$89,254	\$69,380	\$57,888	0.10	0.86%
TWI Average	\$75,208	\$60,482	\$51,308	0.27	0.29%
UM Baltimore County	\$97,782	\$67,769	\$57,397	0.57	0.15%
Space and Facilities	Academic Space per FTE FY 2005	Acadmic Space Surplus or Deficiency FY 2005	Average Age of Buildings in Years	Number of Buildings Renovated Since 1970	
Morgan State	93	(65,927)	44	19	
TWI Average	61	(102,598)	40	17	
UM Baltimore County	93	(137,268)	25	13	

### Appendix A

### **Funding Information Tables**

- Maryland's Public Four-Year College and University General Fund Appropriations and OCR Enhancement Funds: FY 2001-2006
- Maryland's Historically Black Colleges and Universities Enhancement Funds: FY 2001-2006
- Maryland's Four-Year Public College and University General Funds Appropriations per Year: FY 2001-2006
- Maryland's Four-Year Public Colleges and Universities Percent Change in Total General Funds Appropriation and OCR Enhancement Funding: FY 2001-2006
- Maryland's Historically Black College and Universities HBI Enhancement Grant: FY 2003-2006
- Maryland's Historically Black College and Universities Access and Success Grant Funds: FY 2001-2006
- Maryland's Four-Year Public Colleges and Universities Private Donation Incentive Grant Funds: FY 2001-2006
- Maryland's Four-Year Public Colleges and Universities Other Grant Funding: FY 2001-2006
- Maryland's Four-Year Public Colleges and Universities General Fund Appropriations per FTE Student: FY 2002 –2006
- Maryland's Four-Year Public Colleges and Universities General Fund Appropriations and OCR Enhancement Funds per FTE Student FY 2002-2006
- Maryland's Four-Year Public Colleges and Universities Unrestricted E&G Expenditures per FTE Student: FY 2002-2006
- Maryland's Four-Year Public Colleges and Universities State Capital Funding: FY 2001-2006
- Maryland's Four-Year Public Colleges and Universities Capital Improvement Program: FY 2007-2011

### Appendix A

### **Comparability Data Tables**

- Applications and Acceptances of First-Time Students At Maryland's Historically Black Institutions
- Applications and Acceptances of First-Time Students At Maryland's Traditionally White Institutions
- Enrollment of First-Time, Full-Time Undergraduates At Maryland's Historically Black Institutions
- Enrollment of First-Time, Full-Time Undergraduates At Maryland's Traditionally White Institutions
- Enrollment of Full- and Part-Time Graduate Students At Maryland's Historically Black Institutions
- Enrollment of Full- and Part-Time Graduate Students At Maryland's Traditionally White Institutions
- Percentage of Baccalaureate Recipients at Maryland Public Campuses Enrolling for Advanced Study One Year After Graduation
- Second-Year Retention Rate of New Full-Time Students At Maryland Public Four Year Colleges and Universities
- Four-Year Graduation Rates of New Full-Time Students At Maryland Public four Year Colleges and Universities
- Six-Year Graduation Rates of New Full-Time Students At Maryland Public four Year Colleges and Universities
- Maryland Public Four-Year Colleges and Universities Student to Faculty Ratio: FY 1996 2005
- Maryland Public Four-Year Colleges and Universities Average Alumni Giving Rate: FY 2000-2005
- Select Maryland Public Four-Year Colleges and Universities Academic, Office and Library Space per Full-Time Equivalent Student: FY 2000 2005

### Appendix A

### **Data from Comparability and Competitiveness Report**

- Student Profile Data
- Financial Resource Data
- Student Outcome Data
- Academic Resource Data
- Diversity Index
- Facilities and Academic Space
- Campus Security Data

### Appendix B. Activities performed under HBI Enhancement Grant by Institution

### **Bowie State University**

- Summer Bridge Program
- Library Periodicals
- Academic Evaluation and Accreditation
- Academic Program Enhancements
- Academic Programming Facilities
- Institutional Advancement
- Student Support Services

### **Coppin State University**

- PeopleSoft Implementation
- Increase and Enhance Academic Programs
- Enhance Enrollment Services
- Enhance Student Success
- Develop Facilities Master Plan, Land Acquisition, Purchase Equipment
- Institutional Publications and Media
- Enhance Information Technology
- Construct and Renovate Facilities and Infrastructure
- Diversify Funding Sources, Build Advancement Efforts
- Broaden the Mission and Vision
- Rebuild the Campus

### **University of Maryland Eastern Shore**

- PeopleSoft Implementation
- Network and Data Security
- Academic Program Enhancement
- Technology Infrastructure Enhancement
- Admission and Enrollment Management
- Institutional Advancement
- Debt Service

### **Morgan State University**

- Technology Data and Voice Infrastructure
- Network Backbone
- Research Equipment
- Boiler Plant Debt Service

### Appendix C. Comparability Measures - Data Sources

Data	Source	Latest Available	
Student Profile			
Average SAT Scores	IPEDS Institutional Characteristics Survey	2004	
Percent part-time undergraduates of total undergraduate enrollment	IPEDS Fall Enrollment Survey	2004	
Percent of first-time full-time degree seeking undergraduates receiving federal grant aid	IPEDS Student Aid Survey	2004	
Financial Resources			
General Funds per FTE Student	Maryland State Operating Budget Books	FY 2005	
General Funds as a percent of Unrestricted E&G Expenditures	Maryland State Operating Budget Books	FY 2005	
Unrestricted Educational and General Expenditures per FTE	Maryland State Operating Budget Books	FY 2005	
Average alumni giving rate	US News and World Report	2003 and 2004	
Funding guideline attainment	Maryland Higher Education Commission	FY 2006	
Student Outcomes			
Second year retention rate	US News and World Report	2004	
Six-year graduation rate	MHEC	1998 Cohort	
Six-year graduation rate for African-Americans	MHEC	1998 Cohort	
Pass rate on PRAXIS II exam	Institutions, Title II State Report 2004	2003 Test-Takers	
Academic Resources			
Student/faculty ratio	Maryland State Operating Budget Books	2005	
Percent of faculty with terminal degrees	US News and World Report	2005	
Percent of part-time faculty	IPEDS Fall Staff Survey	2004	
Academic library holdings per FTE	NCES Compare Academic Libraries	2002	
Number of student services professionals	IPEDS Fall Staff Survey	2004	
Faculty Salaries, Diversity and Crime Statistics			
Faculty salaries by rank	IPEDS Faculty Salaries Survey	2004	
Diversity index	US News and World Report	2005	
Crime statistics per population	IPEDS Campus Security/IPEDS Fall Enrollment	2004	
Facilities			
Academic space per FTE student	MHEC Facilities Inventory	2005	
Academic space surplus or deficiency	MHEC Facilities Inventory	2005	
Age of buildings	MHEC Facilities Inventory	2005	
Number of buildings renovated since 1970	MHEC Facilities Inventory	2005	