



MARYLAND HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION

**A STUDY OF THE CAPACITY OF
TEACHER PREPARATION
PROGRAMS IN MARYLAND**



NOVEMBER, 2000

RES-2000-12
www.mhec.state.md.us

Principal Author: Michael Keller

MARYLAND HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION
16 Francis Street, Annapolis, Maryland 21401

MARYLAND HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION

John J. Oliver, Jr., Chairman

Stephen A. Burch

Dorothy Dixon Chaney

Edward O. Clarke, Jr.

Jelena Janc

Anne Osborn Emery

John L. Green

Terry L. Lierman

R. Kathleen Perini

Charles B. Saunders, Jr.

Richard P. Streett, Jr.

Karen Johnson, J.D.
Secretary of Higher Education

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Maryland, like most other states, faces a potentially serious shortage of teachers in the coming decade. The State's public school systems will need to hire nearly 9,000 new teachers next year. Nearly half of the public school teachers in Maryland will be eligible to retire within two years. This study examines the capacity of teacher preparation programs at Maryland colleges and universities to increase their production of new teachers.

The study analyzes both the supply and demand aspects of the capacity issue in Maryland. On the supply side, it looks at the intended majors of college-bound high school graduates, the number of students who enroll in teacher preparation programs and how many additional students could be accommodated within current resources, the number of certified teachers being produced, and the anticipated number of teacher candidates expected in the next two years. Figures are presented by subject area and institution. On the demand side, it examines the number of new hires by Maryland school systems and the sources from which they were recruited, the certification areas in which shortages are expected, and the number of new teachers by subject area who are expected to be needed by Maryland schools during the next two years. The statistical information was supplemented with interviews with the heads of selected teacher preparation programs in Maryland. The study concludes with policy questions arising from the results.

These are highlights of the study:

The Supply of Prospective Teachers

- Approximately eight percent of Maryland's college-bound high school seniors have expressed an intention to major in education during the past several years.
- Undergraduate and master's level graduate enrollment in Maryland's teacher preparation programs in 1999 totaled 11,650. Nearly three-quarters were undergraduates and almost two-thirds were full-time undergraduates. Slightly more than one-fourth were graduate students, of whom the vast majority were enrolled part-time.
- Elementary education represented 42 percent of the students in teacher preparation programs, followed by special education (14 percent) and early childhood education (13 percent).
- Public colleges and universities accounted for 83 percent of all undergraduate teacher preparation students, while independent institutions enrolled 62 percent of all masters-level graduate students.

- The teacher preparation programs indicated that they could absorb an additional 4,600 students (3,000 of which would be undergraduates) within their current resources.
- Maryland produced 2,550 new teachers last year, the vast majority of whom came from one of the State's traditional teacher preparation programs.
- Six institutions, led by Towson University and University of Maryland College Park, produced more than two-thirds of the newly eligible teacher candidates in Maryland last year.
- The number of new teachers produced in Maryland is expected to rise to 3,026 or by 19 percent by 2002. Elementary education graduates are anticipated to make up a large percentage of the total growth.

The Demand for Teachers in Maryland

- The number of new teachers hired by Maryland public schools has nearly tripled in the past decade. In 1999-2000, the State's school systems hired 7,329 new teachers.
- The percentage of the new hires who were beginning teachers—recruited from a teacher preparatory program in or outside Maryland—has fallen steadily during the past six years from 64.1 percent to 53.2 percent.
- Maryland recruited just slightly over half of its new teachers from within the State in the past year. In half of the years in the past decade, a majority of the new teachers hired by Maryland public schools came from out-of-state. Several of the representatives of the teacher preparation programs interviewed for this study expressed concern about this trend and thought it should be reversed.
- There has been a sharp decline during the past three years in the percentage of new, beginning Maryland teachers who were recruited from a teacher preparation program in the State or the resident teachers program. Slightly more than one-fourth of the teachers hired in 1999-2000 came from this source—the lowest percentage in the past decade.
- The certification fields in which the largest number of new, beginning teachers graduated from a Maryland-based program were early childhood education, social sciences, art, health and physical education, ESOL, elementary education and science. Those subject areas with the lowest number included career/technology education, music, special education, and computer science.

- The certification subjects identified by the Maryland State Department of Education as constituting “critical shortage areas” for the next academic year are agriculture, art, computer science, ESOL, mathematics, certain science fields, Spanish, and special education. All or nearly all of the superintendents indicated that they anticipated shortages in mathematics, science, special education and technology education during the next five years.
- Maryland public schools estimate that they will need to hire 8,742 new teachers in 2001-2002. However, Maryland schools would be unable to hire at least 614 teachers in “critical shortage areas” where the demand is outstripped by the number of candidates in the entire expected hiring pool both within and outside the State.
- Several of the representatives of the teacher preparation programs (including three of the largest) doubted the desirability of addressing capacity issues by traditional means, specifically by hiring additional faculty and staff except in areas in which demand is rising and the supply is limited. Numerous alternatives were advanced about how teacher preparation programs could boost the number of new teachers.

INTRODUCTION

America's schools may face a critical shortage of qualified teachers in this decade. The U.S. Department of Education has forecasted that between 1.7 million and 2.7 million new public school teachers will be needed nationwide in the next 10 years. This has been prompted by demographics. As a result of the "baby boom echo," the number of elementary school students are projected to swell by 17 percent and high schoolers by 26 percent by 2008. These numbers will be impacted further by the national drives to reduce class size and to expand the education of pre-schoolers.

At the same time, school staffing has become challenging due to a variety of factors. First, teaching has a high attrition rate. According to national statistics, 7 percent of all teachers leave the profession each year and 20 percent of new hires seek other employment within three years. Second, teachers as a group are considerably older than the general population, and a large number will retire in coming years. Third, the teaching pipeline is leaking in the sense that the number of current college graduates who are entering the profession is not sufficient to meet the growing demand. Fourth, the booming economy has provided graduates with job opportunities that offer salaries that teaching cannot match. Finally, cumbersome hiring policies of some school districts may discourage qualified applicants from entering the profession. Urban areas, particularly those with a large proportion of low-income and minority residents, and rural communities are expected to face the greatest difficulty in filling vacant teaching positions.

Maryland is not immune from these trends. The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) has predicted that public schools will need to hire nearly 9,000 new teachers in the 2001-2002 academic year—almost double the number required five years earlier. All of the counties in the State and Baltimore City are expected to experience shortages of certified teachers. Nearly half of Maryland's 60,000 public school teachers will be eligible to retire within the next two years. The problem is compounded by the State's efforts to reduce the number of provisionally-certified teachers to five percent and to cut those teaching outside their fields. State and school officials have implemented a number of incentives and strategies to address the looming teacher shortfall. These include alternative certification routes for career-changers to enter the teaching profession, scholarship and loan-forgiveness programs, tax credits to offset tuition costs, signing bonuses, increased mentoring for beginning teachers, reemployment of retired teachers with no loss of pension benefits, a challenge program to local jurisdictions to raise teacher salaries, low-interest homeowner mortgages, and aggressive all-year hiring campaigns by local school districts. In addition, federal funds are available to states to implement programs to recruit qualified teachers.

One response to the anticipated shortfall in teacher supply would be to increase the State's capacity for preparing new teachers. The 22 Maryland colleges and universities that offer teacher preparation programs do not turn out nearly enough prospective

teachers to meet the demand. The number of teachers produced by Maryland's teacher preparation programs in the past year represents just one-quarter of the total that is expected to be needed by the school systems in the State in 2001--and many of these students will take jobs outside the classroom. To explore this issue, the Leadership Council of the Maryland Partnership for Teaching and Learning K-16 encouraged the Maryland Higher Education Commission to conduct a study of the capacity of teacher preparation programs at Maryland colleges and universities to increase their production of new teachers and help to provide the number of teachers needed by the State.

The Commission agreed to undertake this study in cooperation with MSDE, the school superintendents, and the teacher preparation programs at the various colleges and universities. The Commission staff developed a preliminary set of research questions for the study, which was reviewed by an advisory group consisting of deans and department chairs in teacher preparation programs, other campus administrators, institutional researchers, and representatives from MSDE, the University System of Maryland, and the Maryland Independent College and University Association. Major changes were made in the study design as a result of the suggestions of the advisory group.

This report examines both supply and demand aspects of the capacity question. On the supply side, it looks at the percentage of Maryland high school graduates who express an intention to pursue a career in education, the number of students who enroll in each teacher preparatory program in Maryland by subject and institution and how many additional students each program could absorb with quality within its current resources, the number of certified teachers that are being produced in the various academic fields and at each institution, the campuses which the school systems identified as their chief suppliers of teachers, and the anticipated number of teacher candidates in each certification area during the next two years.

On the demand side, it analyzes the number of new hires by Maryland school systems by certification area and the sources from which they were recruited, the fields for which school systems expect to experience the greatest shortages in the number of new teachers during the next five years, and the number of new teachers by subject who are projected to be needed by Maryland public schools during the next two years.

Numerous sources were used for this study, including data obtained from The College Board, MSDE, follow-up surveys of bachelor's degree recipients conducted by the Commission, Maryland's superintendents of schools, and a survey of the teacher preparation programs at Maryland public and independent colleges and universities. The statistical information was supplemented by interviews with the heads of teacher preparation programs at 10 Maryland institutions and by a review of the literature on the critical issues in teacher supply and demand.

The study concludes with policy questions that were raised by the findings.

THE SUPPLY OF PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS IN MARYLAND

Emerging trends in the career patterns of young Americans can often be spotted in the questionnaires they complete when taking the standardized entrance tests sponsored by The College Board and the American College Testing Program. College-bound students are asked to identify their intended major, and the results have reflected accurately both future enrollments in higher education and interest in occupations.

During the past 10 years, the percentage of Maryland high school seniors taking the Scholastic Achievement Test who indicated that they planned to major in education has remained relatively constant between 6.5 percent and 8.1 percent (Table 1). However, the figure has been much closer to the top of this range for the past five years, with 7.8 percent of the students in 1999 and 2000 selecting education as their likely field of study. This figure is notable in that it suggests that a small but increasing percentage of Maryland students are attracted to the education profession even though jobs with considerably higher salaries are readily available in the current strong employment market.

A more immediate gauge of how many teachers will be entering the profession is the current enrollment in teacher preparation programs. To identify the number of students who have enrolled in these programs at Maryland colleges and universities, a questionnaire was sent to the heads of the departments and schools of education at each institution. The survey sought figures about the number of undergraduate and masters-level graduate students who were enrolled in the campus' teacher preparation program in 1999 on the basis the areas of teacher certification used by MSDE. Respondents were asked to include students in the Masters of Arts in Teaching (MAT) program in their graduate figures, but not to report those enrolled for in-service education. This survey was necessary because little of this information is available at the Commission. Most secondary education students in Maryland major in their actual disciplines, and the Commission's data systems do not identify these individuals on this basis. All of the 22 colleges and universities which offer teacher preparation programs in the State responded to the survey. The figures for Peabody Conservatory of Music were combined with those from The Johns Hopkins University. Information also was supplied by one institution, Sojourner-Douglass College, that offers education programs but does not certify teachers. A copy of the questionnaire is in the appendix.

Tables 2 and 3 contain the enrollment figures by certification area and institution respectively. In fall 1999, the number of individuals attending teacher preparatory programs at Maryland campuses as undergraduates or masters-level graduate students totaled 11,650. Nearly three-fourths of these (8,586) were undergraduates, and almost two-thirds (7,688) were full-time undergraduates. Slightly more than one-fourth (3,064) were masters-level graduate students, of whom 2,322 were part-timers.

Elementary education represented the largest number of students enrolled in teacher preparation programs by far (42 percent), followed by special education (14 percent), early childhood education (13 percent), physical education and social studies (5 percent each). Public colleges and universities accounted for 83 percent of all undergraduate teacher preparation students. Towson University had nearly one quarter (23 percent) of these students, followed by University of Maryland College Park (17 percent), Salisbury State University (12 percent), and Frostburg State University (8 percent). Eighteen percent attended one of the State's historically black colleges and universities. In contrast, 62 percent of the master's level graduate students in teacher preparation were found at independent institutions. The Johns Hopkins University, Loyola College and Western Maryland College absorbed the bulk of these enrollments.

To get a sense of the capacity of the teacher preparation programs to expand within existing resources, the institutions were asked to estimate the number of additional students their individual certification areas could absorb with quality within their current faculty and staff situation, facilities capacity and operating budget. Tables 4 and 5 display the responses on the basis of subject and campus. Statewide, the teacher preparation programs indicated that they could take on nearly 4,600 more students within their present circumstances. Nearly 3,000 of these represented undergraduate enrollments. A sizeable majority of these additional students (62 percent) fell into the certification fields of elementary education (776), special education (705), science (701), and early childhood education (642). Eight campuses represented the vast majority of these additional students. Bowie led by far with 1,328, followed by College of Notre Dame (663), Hood College (430), Towson (336), Salisbury (320), University of Maryland Eastern Shore (313), Coppin State College (301), and Western Maryland (300).

As would be expected, the teacher candidates produced in the State closely follow the enrollment patterns at the colleges and universities. As Table 6 shows, the supply of new teachers in Maryland totaled 2,550 in the past year. Nearly all of these (2,473) emerged from approved, traditional teacher preparation programs. Only a handful emerged from alternative mechanisms offered by the campuses, such as the resident teacher certificate program which is aimed at career changers and liberal arts graduates. Of the most recent teacher pool produced in the State, nearly 80 percent had been prepared in five disciplines: elementary education (1,012), early childhood (346), special education (303), social studies (194) and English/language arts (155).

Although 22 colleges and universities have certification programs, Table 7 shows that six institutions were responsible for more than two-thirds of the newly eligible teacher candidates educated in Maryland: Towson (503), UMCP (386), Notre Dame (276), Salisbury (254), Frostburg (165), and Johns Hopkins (159). However, these numbers provide an incomplete picture of the importance of campuses to the school systems in the State. Most school systems are dependent on the resources of institutions proximate to their location, and some campuses which produce fewer teachers than those cited

above have important appeal to school officials in some of the State's largest jurisdictions. This is demonstrated by the figures in Table 8.

Maryland's superintendents were asked to identify the teacher preparation programs which have been, and are likely to remain in the future, the chief suppliers of teachers for their schools. Responses were received from all but one school system (Harford). Towson, which certifies the single largest number of teachers, was the only institution to be mentioned by a majority of the superintendents (13), including those from most of the largest jurisdictions in Maryland. Salisbury had strong regional appeal, cited by all of the school systems on the Eastern Shore. So did Frostburg, which was identified by all of the school systems in Western Maryland as well as by Montgomery County and two Southern Maryland counties. UMCP was mentioned by the jurisdictions in the Washington, DC suburbs, and Bowie was cited by the two largest counties in this region. UMES was important to the schools on the Lower Eastern Shore, and Morgan State University was considered a chief supplier to three of the largest jurisdictions in the State (Baltimore City and County and Prince George's County).

These two sets of figures—the number of teacher candidates produced and the views of the superintendents— provided the most important ingredients in selecting the 10 teacher preparation programs whose officials were interviewed for this study. Other factors which played a role included geographic, segmental and equal educational opportunity balance. The colleges and universities that were selected supplied 72 percent of Maryland's teacher candidates in 1999-2000: Bowie, Coppin, Frostburg, Johns Hopkins, Loyola, Morgan, Salisbury, Towson, UMCP and UMES.

The number of teacher candidates who earn their certification in Maryland is expected to rise modestly during the next two years (Table 9). The Maryland State Department of Education, which prepares projections of teacher supply annually, predicts that the pool of prospective new teachers will increase by 6 percent (to 2,706) in 2001 and by 19 percent (to 3,026) in 2002. Most of the combined two-year growth is expected to take place in the same fields that are generating the largest enrollments in Maryland's teacher preparation programs: elementary education (2,565 or 45 percent of the anticipated total number of teacher candidates), early childhood education (726 or 13 percent), and special education (609 or 11 percent).

THE DEMAND FOR TEACHERS IN MARYLAND

Maryland's public schools have experienced an intensive and increasing need to recruit teachers during the past decade. The number of new teachers hired by the State's school systems has nearly tripled since 1990-1991 from 2,692 to 7,329 (Table 10). Maryland's public schools have been turning more frequently in recent years to experienced teachers—both within and outside the State—to fill vacancies. The percentage of new hires who were beginning teachers has steadily declined for the past six years from 64.1 percent to 53.2 percent. Maryland also recruits many of its new teachers from outside the State. In 1999-2000, just 51 percent of the new hires were Marylanders—either beginning or experienced teachers. In half of the years in the past decade, a majority of the new teachers in Maryland classrooms were attracted from other states.

Notably, the percentage of new, beginning teachers who have been prepared in Maryland—the vast majority of whom are graduates of a traditional teacher preparation program—has declined sharply in the past three years. Just 22.7 percent of the new teachers hired in 1999-2000 were beginners drawn from a traditional teacher preparation program in Maryland or the resident teachers program (an alternative certification route). This is the lowest percentage in the past decade, down from 31.8 percent in 1997-1998. Indeed, prior to 1998-1999, beginners trained in Maryland accounted for between 30 and 34 percent of the newly hired teachers at Maryland public schools. This phenomenon is not occurring because a large percentage of new Maryland-trained teacher preparation graduates are taking teaching positions outside the State. A follow-up survey of 1997 bachelor's degree recipients from Maryland colleges and universities one year after graduation found that 82 percent of those who took full-time positions as teachers were working in Maryland. Figures from previous surveys were generally comparable.

The percentage of freshly hired teachers who were recruited directly from Maryland campuses varies by subject area (Table 11). In 1999-2000, beginning teachers recruited from a traditional teacher preparation program or the resident teacher program made up a greater than average percentage of the new hires in the certification fields of early childhood education (28.4 percent), social sciences (27.7 percent), art (27.3 percent), health and physical education (26.1 percent), ESOL (25.4 percent), elementary education (24.7 percent), and science (24.4 percent). Lower than average percentages of new hires came from these sources in career/technology education (10.7 percent), music (13.5 percent), special education (16.5 percent), computer science (16.7 percent), English (19.2 percent), mathematics (20.1 percent) and foreign language (20.4 percent).

Several of the certification areas in which Maryland public schools have experienced the greatest shortage of qualified teachers coincided with those in which they have recruited the smallest percentage of their new teachers directly from the campuses.

The Maryland Teacher Staffing Report 2000-2002 identified art, agriculture, computer science, ESOL, Spanish, mathematics, certain science subjects, and special education as “critical shortage areas” for the next academic year. All of these areas, except art and agriculture, also were cited in the previous year’s staffing report. There were projected surpluses of teachers in the social sciences, early childhood education, music, elementary education, and English.

Asked by the Commission to name the subject areas in which their schools expect shortages in new teachers during the next five years, all or nearly all of the superintendents selected mathematics, science, special education, and career and technology education (Table 12). Foreign languages, notably Spanish, also was cited by a large number of the school systems.

The following table shows the certification areas in which there is a serious shortage of new teachers and in which the percentage being hired from Maryland’s teacher preparation programs is below average. It also shows the fields in which there has been no shortage or a surplus—and in which the proportion of new teachers hired from Maryland campuses has been above average.

| | Critical Shortage | Surplus or No Shortage |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Above Average Hiring | Art, ESOL, Science | Early Childhood, Elementary Education, Health Physical Education, Social Sciences |
| Below Average Hiring | Agriculture, Career & Technology Education, Computer Science, Foreign Language, Mathematics, Special Education | English |

In several certification areas, there will be insufficient supply from any source to meet the demand from Maryland schools. In 2001-2002, Maryland’s public school systems estimate that they will need to hire 8,742 new teachers (Table 13). The anticipated staffing pool from which the schools will recruit these students is 10,351, and this figure reflects sources both within and outside Maryland and both beginning and experienced teachers. However, in several of the certification areas which have been described as “critical shortages,” more teachers will be needed by Maryland schools than there will be suitable applicants from any source. To fill these vacancies, additional candidates would have to be produced by Maryland’s campuses or recruited in some other way. Statewide, Maryland public schools will require 614 teachers beyond the number that is projected to be available in the current pool. These additional teachers will be needed in the following certification areas:

| | |
|--------------------------|-----|
| Special Education | 174 |
| Severely Handicapped | 92 |
| Generic Infant - Grade 3 | 67 |
| Generic Grades 1-8 | 17 |
| Visually Impaired | 4 |
| Hearing Impaired | 3 |
| Science | 116 |
| Physical Science | 64 |
| Earth/Space Science | 45 |
| Physics | 7 |
| ESOL | 111 |
| Mathematics | 69 |
| Spanish | 51 |
| Computer Science | 45 |
| Art | 30 |
| Agriculture | 17 |
| Health Occupations | 1 |

At least some of Maryland's teacher preparation programs indicated that they could expand the number of students in almost all of the above certification areas. The following are institutions which had enrollment in the above "critical shortage" certification areas in 1999; those in bold indicated that they could absorb at least some additional students within their current capacity.

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Special Education | |
| Severely Handicapped | UMCP, Johns Hopkins |
| Generic Infant-Grade 3 | Bowie , UMCP, Johns Hopkins |
| Generic Grades 1-8 | Coppin , UMCP, UMES , Goucher , Hood , Loyola, Notre Dame , Western Maryland |
| Visually Impaired | <i>None</i> |
| Hearing Impaired | Western Maryland |
| Science | |
| Physical Science | Towson , UMBC |
| Earth/Space Science | Bowie , Frostburg, Towson , UMBC, UMCP, Johns Hopkins |
| Physics | Frostburg, Towson , UMBC, UMCP, Johns Hopkins, Loyola, Western Maryland |
| ESOL | UMBC, UMCP, Notre Dame |
| Mathematics | Bowie , Frostburg, Salisbury , Towson , UMBC, UMCP, UMES , Morgan , St. Mary's , Columbia Union , Hood, Johns Hopkins, Loyola, Mt. St. Mary's, Notre Dame , Washington, Western Maryland |
| Spanish | Frostburg, Salisbury , Towson , UMBC, UMCP, St. Mary's , Hood , Loyola, Notre Dame , Western Maryland |
| Computer Science | UMBC |
| Art | Frostburg , Salisbury , Towson , UMBC, UMCP, UMES , St. Mary's , Loyola, Maryland Institute , Mt. St. Mary's, Washington, Western Maryland |
| Agriculture | UMES |
| Health Occupations | <i>None</i> |

PERSPECTIVES OF THE TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS

If nothing is done, Maryland will face a shortage of teachers within the next two years in at least the above 15 certification areas. Maryland's schools do not appear to be likely to attract enough of these teachers from any sources to meet classroom demand. For other fields, Maryland may be able to find sufficient teachers—either beginning or experienced—from within the State or outside its borders in order to meet hiring needs. However, this should not be interpreted to mean that all school systems in Maryland are guaranteed to find qualified teachers in these areas. It only suggests that the prospective candidate pool is larger than the demand. Insufficient information is available to make projections beyond two years.

What is clear from the data is that Maryland's public schools are highly dependent on the recruitment of teachers from other states and experienced teachers working elsewhere in Maryland to satisfy staffing requirements. The proportion of new employees that come from one of Maryland's teacher preparation programs has slid sharply in recent years to less than one-fourth. As was noted earlier, this is an issue of production: the vast majority of teachers who graduate from a Maryland institution take jobs in the State.

One of the major purposes of the discussions that were held with selected heads of teacher preparation programs was to learn their views regarding the actions they can take to assist the recruitment efforts of the school systems. Several of the representatives of the teacher preparation programs expressed concern about the number of teachers recruited from outside the State. First, they felt it may not work for long, as other states adopt similar incentives to those that have been implemented in Maryland to attract new teachers. Second, they contended that out-of-state teachers are not as apt to be prepared to deal with Maryland's high school assessment efforts because of lack of familiarity. As one dean put it, "The State needs more Maryland-prepared teachers for Maryland students."

At the same time, several of the representatives of the teacher preparation programs (including three of the largest) expressed skepticism about the prospects of building institutional capacity through traditional means, notably the hiring more faculty and staff, except in areas of rising demand. Several reasons were offered. First, the impact of the additional faculty would not trickle down to the schools for several years and relieve the immediate need for more teachers in certain subjects. Second, excessive expansion of resources could prove to be wasteful in the long run, since the pressure to produce more teachers is likely to abate within the next seven years due to population changes. Said one dean: "It is difficult to hire tenured faculty for short-term purposes." Third, core faculty are costly. Fourth, there is a limited pool of people nationally with the credentials to serve in teacher preparation programs, and not all of them want to be faculty members at the college level. Finally, the use of part-time or

adjunct faculty to train teachers was anathema to some of the campus representatives, who pointed out that it would conflict with the standards desired for the professional development schools or required by accrediting bodies. "We have no desire to bring in adjunct faculty for the purpose of increasing the overall graduates," one dean insisted. Another dean commented that she supported efforts to meet the demand for new teachers but insisted that quality could not be compromised.

The campus representatives advanced several suggestions on how Maryland teacher preparation programs could increase the numbers of teachers quickly and in an effective manner. All would require additional resources for the programs, although much less than would be required to hire full-time core faculty.

1. Provide expanded post baccalaureate or M.A.T. training for provisionally certified teachers. This could be accomplished using a variety of delivery methods.
2. Attract community college transfer students through expanded articulation agreements and 2+2 arrangements, particularly in shortage areas. One dean suggested the creation of a special program that would allow the first two years of teacher training to occur at a community college. Students would then enter a three-year program in which they would divide their time between work in a school system and attendance in a degree program. At the end of the program, they would be eligible for a baccalaureate and certification.
3. Develop "fast track" options for career changers or students who decide late in college that they want to teach. This could involve summer institutes, special programs, resident certification, expanded M.A.T. programs, and the Teach for America program.
4. Hire a corps of Clinical Educators from the ranks of retired or soon-to-be retired teachers to assist in the preparation of new teachers. This proposal would be far less costly than hiring core faculty and would pose no long term commitment for the institution. Yet, these Clinical Educators would potentially be more qualified and motivated than traditional adjuncts or part-timers.
5. Create and expand "institutes for beginning teachers," which offer forums for current graduates and hotlines for new teachers. This approach, which has been used successfully at one institution, could provide the mentoring needed to increase the retention of teachers in the first year when some experience "burn out" and leave the profession.
6. Develop pre-college intervention programs that target promising students who show interest in teaching as a career. One institution participates in a Consortium of Minorities in Teaching Careers, in which high school students who have expressed interest in teaching as a career instruct elementary school students under the supervision of a master teacher. At another campus, the math department

coordinates faculty visits to the high schools for the purpose of recruiting promising students to the teaching profession.

7. Expand continuing education programs offered at off-campus sites, such as Shady Grove, the HEAT Center, Southern Maryland Higher Education Center, and Hagerstown, to provide greater access to teacher preparation curricula to students in all parts of the State.
8. Provide tuition support for teacher education students and guarantee all graduates a job after they earn their certification and degree.
9. Initiate a well-funded public relations campaign in the State designed to change the image of the teaching profession and put a “fresh and up-to-date” face on it. As one campus representative put it, “Once you get into education, there are perks—knowing that you were responsible for moving a kid from a state of intellectual nothingness.”

POLICY QUESTIONS

The squeeze which Maryland school districts face in finding sufficient teachers for their classrooms compels policy makers to consider alternative strategies, options and actions for dealing with the situation. These decisions need to be made expeditiously to have an impact on short-term hiring. Judgments also must be made regarding the recruitment of teachers over the long term which will respond to areas of continued shortage. The key issue is what can be done by the State in general and Maryland higher education institutions in specific to produce additional candidates, in what areas, in what ways, and with what resources. These are policy questions emerging from this study.

To what extent can Maryland’s participation in regional teacher quality and supply efforts help the State resolve its short-term need for new teachers?

In half of the years in the past decade, Maryland has imported most of its teachers from other states. These have either been experienced teachers working in schools or the graduates of education programs at out-of-state colleges and universities. In 1999-2000, just a bare majority of the new teachers hired by Maryland school districts came from within the State. Further, the proportion of new teachers drawn from one of Maryland’s teacher preparation programs has slid sharply in recent years to less than one-fourth. This represents the lowest percentage of teachers recruited from Maryland campuses in the past decade.

It has become clear that teacher supply and quality is a regional issue. Maryland is currently working with Delaware, the District of Columbia, New Jersey and

Pennsylvania on the Mid-Atlantic Regional Teachers' Project to address concerns related to teachers as they are shared by these states. Current efforts include work on the construction of a database on issues of teacher quality, retention and attrition; the possibility of establishing an "electronic hiring hall" to match the needs of states and districts with applicants; and other efforts to reduce or remove obstacles to more efficient and effective regional efforts to recruit, hire and retain high-quality teachers.

What should Maryland higher education institutions do to address the immediate need for additional teachers?

Expanding faculty and staff has been the traditional way in which academic departments have responded to demands that they increase the number of students they enroll and the number of graduates they produce. While this approach is a reasonable response in the case of programs for which there is a great shortage of teachers and an anticipated long-term demand, it has shortcomings which were acknowledged by the representatives of the teacher preparation programs. The addition of new faculty would not lead immediately to more classroom teachers, it could result in overstaffing and wasted resources when demographic conditions change, it is expensive, there is no guarantee that enough qualified academicians could be found, and it could lead to the selection of part-time or adjunct faculty with marginal credentials and commitment.

Alternative strategies to the hiring of new faculty, all of which were suggested by the teacher preparation programs themselves, include expanded post baccalaureate or M.A.T. training for provisionally certified teachers, greater collaboration with community colleges through articulation agreements, "fast track" options for late deciders and career changers, the use of retired teachers to assist in the preparation of new teachers, formalized mentoring through institutes for beginning teachers, pre-college intervention programs to identify promising teachers as early as high school, tuition support for teacher education candidates, and the creation of a public relations campaign to polish the image of the teaching profession. It should be noted that the implementation of these strategies would require additional resources.

Should the State play a more active role in encouraging colleges and universities to develop additional programs which respond to the anticipated critical shortage areas, to extend existing programs to regional centers and other off-campus sites, and to reduce enrollments in program areas where a shortage is not projected?

Even if they fully tapped the available pool of candidates for teaching positions both in and outside the State, school districts would lack hundreds of teachers in several fields that have been identified by MSDE as "critical shortage areas." For the past two years, these have included computer science, ESOL, Spanish, mathematics, certain science subjects, and special education. Agriculture and art were cited in the latest report as well. All or nearly all of the superintendents indicated that mathematics, science, special education, and technology education would remain high shortage/high demand subject areas during the next five years. Maryland's teacher preparation

programs currently have students enrolled in nearly all of the critical shortage areas, and at least some of them indicated that they could increase their enrollment within their current circumstances. Nonetheless, if additional resources are needed in terms of faculty and staff, priority might be given to existing programs which are already producing qualified teacher candidates in these areas. In developing new programs, campuses might be strongly encouraged to focus their attention on those certification areas in which there is a sizable gap between the current supply and the demand.

TABLES

Table 1 Trends in the Percentage of Maryland College Bound Seniors Whose Intended College Major Was Education.

| Year | <u>%</u> |
|-------------|-----------------|
| 1990 | 6.5% |
| 1991 | 7.0% |
| 1992 | 7.5% |
| 1993 | 7.3% |
| 1994 | 7.3% |
| 1995 | 7.2% |
| 1996 | 7.4% |
| 1997 | 7.5% |
| 1998 | 8.1% |
| 1999 | 7.8% |
| 2000 | 7.8% |

Source: The College Board

Table 2. Enrollment in Teacher Preparatory Programs at Maryland Colleges and Universities by Subject Area (1999)

| | Undergraduates | | | Master's Level Graduate Students | | | Combined Figures | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------|----------------------------------|-----------|-------|------------------|-----------|-------|
| | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Full Time | Part Time | Total |
| Art (N-12) | 146 | 19 | 165 | 42 | 9 | 51 | 188 | 28 | 216 |
| Career/ Technology Education | 28 | 6 | 34 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 28 | 30 | 58 |
| Agriculture | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Business Education | 16 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 23 | 23 | 16 | 27 | 43 |
| Family and Consumer Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marketing Education | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Technology Education | 9 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 10 |
| Trades and Industry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Health Occupations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Science | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Early Childhood Education | 1,194 | 190 | 1,384 | 33 | 71 | 104 | 1,227 | 261 | 1,488 |
| Elementary Education | 3,613 | 382 | 3,995 | 233 | 639 | 871 | 3,846 | 1,020 | 4,866 |
| English/ Language Arts | 264 | 13 | 277 | 18 | 135 | 153 | 282 | 148 | 430 |
| English | 244 | 12 | 256 | 18 | 135 | 153 | 262 | 147 | 409 |
| Speech | 8 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 9 |
| ESOL (N 12) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 35 | 101 | 66 | 35 | 101 |
| Foreign Language | 133 | 4 | 129 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 138 | 19 | 157 |
| French | 31 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 32 | 2 | 34 |
| German | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| Latin | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Russian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spanish | 92 | 3 | 97 | 4 | 14 | 18 | 96 | 17 | 113 |
| Health | 173 | 14 | 187 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 176 | 14 | 190 |
| Mathematics | 177 | 22 | 199 | 9 | 97 | 106 | 186 | 119 | 305 |

Table 2 (cont). Enrollment in Teacher Preparatory Program at Maryland Colleges and Universities by Subject Area (1999)

| | Undergraduates | | | Master's Level Graduate Students | | | Combined Figures | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Full Time | Part Time | Total |
| Music (N-12) | 268 | 22 | 290 | 1 | 18 | 19 | 269 | 40 | 309 |
| Physical Education (N-12) | 537 | 40 | 577 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 537 | 50 | 587 |
| Science | 139 | 17 | 156 | 20 | 74 | 94 | 159 | 91 | 250 |
| Biology | 101 | 13 | 114 | 15 | 41 | 56 | 116 | 54 | 170 |
| Chemistry | 22 | 2 | 24 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 23 | 11 | 34 |
| Earth/Space Science | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 11 |
| Physical Science | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Physics | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
| Social Sciences | 420 | 54 | 474 | 32 | 98 | 120 | 452 | 152 | 604 |
| Geography | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| History | 222 | 35 | 257 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 224 | 35 | 259 |
| Social Studies | 198 | 19 | 217 | 30 | 98 | 118 | 228 | 117 | 345 |
| Special Education | 450 | 90 | 540 | 255 | 779 | 1,034 | 705 | 869 | 1,574 |
| Generic (Infant-Grade 3) | 29 | 9 | 38 | 47 | 122 | 169 | 76 | 131 | 207 |
| Generic (Grade 1-8) | 272 | 28 | 300 | 58 | 61 | 119 | 330 | 89 | 419 |
| Generic (Grades 6- Adult) | 42 | 0 | 42 | 45 | 169 | 214 | 87 | 169 | 256 |
| Hearing Impaired | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 260 | 360 | 100 | 260 | 360 |
| Severely Handicapped | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 42 | 42 | 11 | 42 | 53 |
| Visually Impaired | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Teaching Areas | 142 | 25 | 167 | 25 | 319 | 344 | 167 | 369 | 536 |
| Theater | 14 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 47 | 47 | 14 | 49 | 63 |
| Dance | 35 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 47 | 48 | 36 | 47 | 83 |
| Other | 93 | 23 | 116 | 24 | 225 | 249 | 117 | 273 | 365 |
| Total Enrollment | 7,688 | 898 | 8,586 | 742 | 2,322 | 3,064 | 8,430 | 3,220 | 11,650 |

Note: Special education totals include undergraduate and master's level graduate figures for Coppin and part-time graduate figures for UMES, which were not broken into specific areas. Science totals include part-time graduate figures for Salisbury and Morgan which were not broken into specific areas.

Source: Maryland Higher Education Commission Survey of Departments and Schools of Education

Table 3. Enrollment in Teacher Preparatory Programs at Maryland Colleges and Universities by Institution (1999)

| | Undergraduate | | | Master-Level-Graduate | | | Combined Figures | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Full Time | Part Time | Total |
| Public Institutions | | | | | | | | | |
| Bowie | 306 | 112 | 418 | 16 | 97 | 113 | 322 | 209 | 531 |
| Coppin | 306 | 134 | 440 | 10 | 237 | 247 | 316 | 371 | 687 |
| Frostburg | 671 | 2 | 673 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 686 | 2 | 688 |
| Salisbury | 951 | 75 | 1,026 | 25 | 97 | 122 | 976 | 172 | 1,148 |
| Towson | 1,752 | 193 | 1,945 | 52 | 41 | 93 | 1,804 | 234 | 2,038 |
| UMBC | 419 | 75 | 494 | 134 | 158 | 292 | 553 | 233 | 786 |
| UMCP | 1,334 | 91 | 1,425 | 172 | 0 | 172 | 1,506 | 91 | 1,597 |
| UMES | 232 | 14 | 246 | 8 | 57 | 65 | 240 | 71 | 311 |
| Morgan | 411 | 45 | 456 | 17 | 20 | 37 | 428 | 65 | 493 |
| St. Mary's | 32 | 5 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 5 | 37 |
| All Publics | 6,414 | 746 | 7,160 | 449 | 707 | 1,156 | 6,863 | 1,453 | 8,316 |
| Independent Institutions | | | | | | | | | |
| Columbia Union | 58 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 58 |
| Goucher | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 68 | 108 | 0 | 108 | 108 |
| Hood | 100 | 31 | 131 | 7 | 16 | 23 | 107 | 47 | 154 |
| Johns Hopkins | 27 | 1 | 28 | 54 | 607 | 661 | 81 | 608 | 689 |
| Loyola | 285 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 415 | 415 | 285 | 415 | 700 |
| Maryland Institute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 21 |
| Mount St. Mary's | 184 | 29 | 213 | 15 | 76 | 91 | 199 | 105 | 304 |
| Notre Dame | 152 | 48 | 200 | 58 | 18 | 76 | 210 | 66 | 276 |
| Sojourner-Douglass | 118 | 32 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 32 | 150 |
| Villa Julie | 117 | 11 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 11 | 128 |
| Washington | 31 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| Western Maryland | 202 | 0 | 202 | 100 | 413 | 513 | 302 | 413 | 715 |
| All Independents | 1,274 | 152 | 1,426 | 293 | 1,615 | 1,908 | 1,567 | 1,767 | 3,334 |
| All Campuses | 7,688 | 898 | 8,586 | 742 | 2,322 | 3,064 | 8,430 | 3,220 | 11,650 |

Note: Johns Hopkins figures include Peabody
 Source: Maryland Higher Education Commission Survey of Departments and Schools of Education

Table 4. Number of Additional Students Which Teacher Preparatory Programs at Maryland Campuses Could Absorb with Quality within Existing Resources (By Subject Area).

| | Undergraduate | | | Masters-Level Graduate | | | Combined Figures | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-------|------------------------|-----------|-------|------------------|-----------|-------|
| | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Full Time | Part Time | Total |
| Art (N-12) | 84 | 10 | 94 | 13 | 23 | 36 | 97 | 33 | 130 |
| Career/Technology Education | 96 | 9 | 105 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 104 | 12 | 116 |
| Education | 9 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 7 | 20 |
| Agriculture | 75 | 0 | 75 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 79 | 0 | 79 |
| Business Education | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family & Consumer Sciences | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marketing Education | 12 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 17 |
| Technology Education | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trades and Industry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Health Occupations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Early Childhood | 211 | 207 | 418 | 137 | 87 | 224 | 348 | 294 | 642 |
| Elementary Education | 319 | 167 | 486 | 144 | 148 | 290 | 463 | 313 | 776 |
| English/Language Arts | 131 | 64 | 195 | 21 | 30 | 51 | 153 | 94 | 247 |
| English | 106 | 44 | 150 | 21 | 30 | 51 | 127 | 74 | 201 |
| Speech | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ESOL (N-12) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 50 | 89 | 39 | 50 | 89 |
| Foreign Language | 140 | 74 | 214 | 21 | 61 | 82 | 161 | 135 | 296 |
| French | 46 | 35 | 81 | 8 | 23 | 31 | 54 | 58 | 112 |
| German | 8 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 16 | 27 |
| Latin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Russian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spanish | 60 | 33 | 93 | 10 | 25 | 35 | 70 | 58 | 128 |
| Health | 32 | 30 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 30 | 62 |
| Mathematics | 120 | 55 | 175 | 31 | 57 | 88 | 151 | 112 | 263 |
| Music (N-12) | 82 | 35 | 117 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 88 | 45 | 133 |
| Physical Education(N-12) | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 23 | 10 | 33 |

Table 4(cont). Number of Additional Students Which Teacher Preparatory Programs at Maryland Campuses Could Absorb with Quality Within Existing Resources (By Subject Area)

| | Undergraduate | | | Masters-Level Graduate | | | Combined Figures | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-------|------------------------|-----------|-------|------------------|-----------|-------|
| | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Full Time | Part Time | Total |
| Science | 222 | 174 | 396 | 146 | 159 | 305 | 368 | 333 | 701 |
| Biology | 83 | 61 | 144 | 46 | 59 | 105 | 129 | 120 | 249 |
| Chemistry | 55 | 50 | 105 | 25 | 35 | 60 | 80 | 85 | 165 |
| Earth/Space Science | 10 | 20 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 45 | 35 | 40 | 75 |
| Physical Science | 10 | 20 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 45 | 35 | 40 | 75 |
| Physics | 30 | 20 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 50 | 55 | 45 | 100 |
| Social Sciences | 157 | 109 | 266 | 20 | 34 | 54 | 177 | 143 | 320 |
| Geography | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| History | 64 | 54 | 118 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 66 | 56 | 122 |
| Social Studies | 77 | 55 | 132 | 18 | 32 | 50 | 95 | 87 | 182 |
| Special Education | 236 | 153 | 389 | 160 | 156 | 316 | 396 | 309 | 705 |
| Generic Infant- Grade 3 | 92 | 61 | 153 | 61 | 76 | 137 | 153 | 137 | 290 |
| Generic Grades 1-8 | 104 | 82 | 186 | 57 | 45 | 102 | 161 | 127 | 288 |
| Generic Grades 6-Adult | 30 | 10 | 40 | 32 | 35 | 67 | 62 | 45 | 107 |
| Hearing Impaired | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Severely Handicapped | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Visually Impaired | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Teaching Areas* | 37 | 1 | 38 | 1 | 67 | 68 | 38 | 68 | 106 |
| Theater | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Dance | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| Total Enrollment | 1,872 | 1,088 | 2,960 | 726 | 893 | 1,619 | 2,598 | 1,981 | 4,579 |

Note: The Totals for the following subjects contain figures that were not broken down into specific certification areas:

- Foreign language (full-time undergraduates at Frostburg, UMBC, and UMCP and full-time and part-time undergraduates at St. Mary's).
- Science (full-time undergraduates at Frostburg, UMBC, UMCP, Morgan and St. Mary's and full-time and part-time undergraduates at St. Mary's).
- English/language arts (full-time and part-time undergraduate and graduate students at Coppin).
- Special education (full-time undergraduate and graduate students at Coppin).
- Social Studies (full-time undergraduates at UMCP).
- Other (full-time and part-time graduate students at Coppin).

Source: Maryland Higher Education Commission Survey of Departments and Schools of Education

Table 5. Number of Additional Students Which Teacher Preparatory Programs at Maryland Campuses Could Absorb with Quality Within Existing Resources (By Institution)

| | Undergraduate | | | Masters-Level Graduate | | | Combined Figures | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Full Time | Part Time | Total |
| Public Institutions | | | | | | | | | |
| Bowie | 383 | 390 | 773 | 302 | 253 | 555 | 685 | 643 | 1,328 |
| Coppin | 123 | 164 | 287 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 123 | 178 | 301 |
| Frostburg | 147 | 0 | 147 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 152 | 3 | 155 |
| Salisbury | 155 | 145 | 300 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 165 | 155 | 320 |
| Towson | 138 | 74 | 212 | 62 | 62 | 124 | 200 | 136 | 336 |
| UMBC | 47 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 52 | 0 | 52 |
| UMCP | 91 | 0 | 91 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 118 | 0 | 118 |
| UMES | 176 | 44 | 220 | 28 | 65 | 93 | 204 | 109 | 313 |
| Morgan | 55 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| St. Mary's | 18 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 25 |
| All Publics | 1,333 | 824 | 2,157 | 439 | 407 | 846 | 1,772 | 1,231 | 3,003 |
| Independent Institutions | | | | | | | | | |
| Columbia Union | 58 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 58 |
| Goucher | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 27 | 42 | 15 | 27 | 42 |
| Hood | 90 | 60 | 150 | 135 | 145 | 280 | 225 | 205 | 430 |
| Johns Hopkins | 10 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 16 | 10 | 26 |
| Loyola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maryland Institute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mount St. Mary's | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Notre Dame | 176 | 197 | 373 | 131 | 159 | 290 | 307 | 356 | 663 |
| Sojourner-Douglass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Villa Julie | 50 | 7 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 7 | 57 |
| Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Western Maryland | 155 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 145 | 145 | 155 | 145 | 300 |
| All Independents | 539 | 264 | 803 | 287 | 486 | 773 | 826 | 750 | 1,576 |
| All Campuses | 1,872 | 1,088 | 2,960 | 726 | 893 | 1,619 | 2,598 | 1,981 | 4,579 |

Note: Johns Hopkins figures include Peabody

SOURCE: Maryland Higher Education Commission Survey of Departments and Schools of Education

Table 6

Supply of Maryland- Prepared Candidates by Certification Area
1999-2000

| Certification Area | Total New Teacher Supply | Approved Teacher Education Programs | Credit Count | Resident Teacher Program* |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Art | 73 | 66 | 6 | 1 |
| N-6 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-12 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| N-12 | 52 | 46 | 6 | 0 |
| Career/Technology Education | 16 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| Agriculture | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Business Education | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Family & Consumer Sciences | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marketing Education | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Technology Education | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Trades and Industry | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Health Occupations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Science | 346 | 343 | 3 | 0 |
| Early Childhood Elementary Education | 1,012 | 1,005 | 1 | 6 |
| | | | | |
| English/Language Arts | 155 | 149 | 3 | 3 |
| English | 155 | 149 | 3 | 3 |
| Speech | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | |
| ESOL | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| K-6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N-12 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | |
| Foreign Language | 49 | 47 | 0 | 2 |
| French | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| German | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Latin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Russian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spanish | 31 | 29 | 0 | 2 |
| | | | | |
| Health | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematics | 65 | 63 | 0 | 2 |

Table 6 (continued)

Supply of Maryland - Prepared Candidates by Certification Area
1999-2000

| Certification Area | Total New Teacher Supply | Approved Teacher Education Programs | Credit Count | Resident Teacher Program* |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Music | 57 | 57 | 0 | 0 |
| N-6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-12 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| N-12 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| Physical Education | 107 | 97 | 10 | 0 |
| N-6 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N-12 | 86 | 76 | 10 | 0 |
| Science | 102 | 98 | 3 | 1 |
| Biology | 77 | 73 | 3 | 1 |
| Chemistry | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Earth/Space Science | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Physical Science | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Physics | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Social Sciences | 194 | 190 | 2 | 2 |
| Geography | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| History | 31 | 30 | 0 | 1 |
| Social Studies | 162 | 159 | 2 | 1 |
| Special Education | 303 | 279 | 24 | 0 |
| Generic Infant- Grade 3 | 30 | 27 | 3 | 0 |
| Generic Grades 1-8 | 182 | 165 | 17 | 0 |
| Generic Grades 6-Adult | 70 | 66 | 4 | 0 |
| Hearing Impaired | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Severely Handicapped | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Visually Impaired | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Teaching Areas | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Theater | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dance | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2,550 | 2,473 | 60 | 17 |

*Resident teachers are teachers who are hired under Maryland's alternative certification program and Teach for America Program.
Note: Includes graduates summer 1999, fall, 1999, and spring, 2000.

SOURCE: Maryland Teacher Staffing Report, 2000-2002, Maryland State Department of Education (2000)

Table 7
Newly Eligible Maryland Teacher Candidates by Institution: 1999-2000

| Institution | Total | Approved Teacher Ed Programs | Credit Count | Resident Teacher Program* |
|--|--------------|---|-------------------------|--|
| Bowie State University | 73 | 73 | 0 | 0 |
| College Of Notre Dame | 276 | 245 | 14 | 17 |
| Columbia Union College | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Coppin State College | 61 | 61 | 0 | 0 |
| Frostburg State University | 165 | 164 | 1 | 0 |
| Goucher College | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 |
| Hood College | 44 | 44 | 0 | 0 |
| Johns Hopkins University | 159 | 159 | 0 | 0 |
| Loyola College | 99 | 99 | 0 | 0 |
| Maryland Institute, College of Art | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Morgan State University | 56 | 56 | 0 | 0 |
| Mt. St. Mary's College | 43 | 43 | 0 | 0 |
| Peabody Conservatory of Music | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| St. Mary's College | 40 | 38 | 2 | 0 |
| Salisbury State University | 254 | 245 | 9 | 0 |
| Towson University | 503 | 476 | 27 | 0 |
| University of Maryland, Baltimore County | 101 | 101 | 0 | 0 |
| University of Maryland, College Park | 386 | 386 | 0 | 0 |
| University of Maryland Eastern Shore | 82 | 74 | 8 | 0 |
| Villa Julie College | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Washington College | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Western Maryland College | 107 | 107 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Newly Eligible Teachers | 2,550 | 2,472 | 61 | 17 |

*Resident Teachers are teachers who are hired under Maryland's alternative certification program and Teach for America Program.
 Two resident teacher programs in the state are not administrated by institutions of higher education and therefore those numbers are not included in this table.

SOURCE: Maryland Teacher Staffing Report, 2000-2002, Maryland State Department of Education (2000)

Table 8. Maryland Teacher Preparation Programs Cited by Public School Systems as their Chief Suppliers of Teachers (By Maryland Jurisdiction)

| | Bowie | Frostburg | Salisbury | Towson | UMCP | UMES | Morgan | Hood | John Hopkins | Loyola | Notre Dame |
|------------------|-------|-----------|-----------|--------|------|------|--------|------|--------------|--------|------------|
| Allegany | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Anne Arundel | | | | X | X | | | | | | |
| Baltimore City | | | | X | | | X | | | X | |
| Baltimore County | | | | X | | | X | | | | X |
| Calvert | X | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Caroline | | | X | | | X | | | | | |
| Carroll | | | | X | | | | | | | |
| Cecil | | X | X | X | | | X | | | | |
| Charles | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | |
| Dorchester | | | X | X | | X | | | | | |
| Frederick | | X | | X | X | | | X | | | |
| Garrett | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| Howard | | | | X | X | | | | | X | |
| Kent | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| Montgomery | X | X | | X | X | | | X | | X | |
| Prince George's | X | | | | X | | X | | | | |
| Queen Anne's | | | X | X | | X | | | | | |
| Somerset | | | X | | | X | | | | | |
| St. Mary's | | | | | X | | | | | | X |
| Talbot | | X | X | X | | X | | | | | |
| Washington | | X | | | | | | X | | | |
| Wicomico | | | X | | | X | | | | | |
| Worcester | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| State Total | 4 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

Note: Institutions mentioned by fewer than three school systems are not included. No response was received from Harford

Source: Maryland Public School Systems

Table 9
Anticipated Teacher Candidates by Certification Area
Preparation Completed in Maryland: 2000-2001 and 2001-2002

| Certification Area | 2000-2001 | | | | 2001-2002 | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| | Total New Teacher Supply | Approved Teacher Ed Program | Credit Count Program | Resident Teacher Program* | Total New Teacher Supply | Approved Teacher Ed Program | Credit Count Program | Resident Teacher Program* |
| Art | 66 | 64 | 0 | 2 | 62 | 61 | 0 | 1 |
| N-6 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-12 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 1 |
| N-12 | 52 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 52 | 0 | 0 |
| Career/Technology Education | 15 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Agriculture | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Business Education | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Family & Consumer Sciences | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marketing Education | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Technology Education | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Trades and Industry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Health Occupations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Science | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Early Childhood | 328 | 310 | 18 | 0 | 398 | 378 | 20 | 0 |
| Elementary Education | 1,228 | 1,171 | 22 | 35 | 1,337 | 1,280 | 25 | 32 |
| English/Language Arts | 198 | 172 | 4 | 22 | 236 | 209 | 6 | 21 |
| English | 196 | 170 | 4 | 22 | 233 | 206 | 6 | 21 |
| Speech | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| ESOL | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| K-6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-12 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| N-12 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign Language | 34 | 27 | 0 | 7 | 34 | 30.5 | 0 | 3 |
| French | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| German | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Latin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Russian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spanish | 41 | 34 | 0 | 7 | 35 | 32 | 0 | 3 |
| Health | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 47 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematics | 93 | 85 | 4 | 4 | 110 | 97 | 8 | 5 |
| Music | 44 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 47 | 46 | 1 | 0 |
| N-6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-12 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 0 |
| N-12 | 50 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 42 | 42 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9 cont.
Anticipated Teacher Candidates by Certification Area
Preparation Completed in Maryland: 2000-2001 and 2001-2002

| Certification Area | 2000-2001 | | | | 2001-2002 | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| | Total New Teacher Supply | Approved Teacher Ed Program | Credit Count Program | Resident Teacher Program* | Total New Teacher Supply | Approved Teacher Ed Program | Credit Count Program | Resident Teacher Program* |
| Physical Education | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| N-6 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N-12 | 64 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 66 | 0 | 0 |
| Science | 113 | 95 | 4 | 14 | 145 | 118 | 8 | 19 |
| Biology | 122 | 108 | 4 | 10 | 111 | 89 | 8 | 14 |
| Chemistry | 25 | 21 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 20 | 0 | 2 |
| Earth/Space Science | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| Physical Science | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| Physics | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 2 |
| Social Sciences | 187 | 159 | 10 | 18 | 201 | 168 | 18 | 15 |
| Geography | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| History | 35 | 21 | 4 | 10 | 43 | 31 | 8 | 4 |
| Social Studies | 197 | 191 | 6 | 0 | 212 | 191 | 10 | 11 |
| Special Education | 287 | 263 | 24 | 0 | 322 | 293 | 29 | 0 |
| Generic Infant- Grade 3 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| Generic Grades 1-8 | 173 | 153 | 20 | 0 | 193 | 168 | 25 | 0 |
| Generic Grades 6-Adult | 76 | 72 | 4 | 0 | 86 | 82 | 4 | 0 |
| Hearing Impaired | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Severly Handicapped | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Visually Impaired | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Teaching Areas | 12 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 2,706 | 2,506 | 88 | 112 | 3,026 | 2,811 | 115 | 100 |

*Resident teachers are teachers who are hired under Maryland's alternative certification program and Teach for America Program

SOURCE: Maryland Teacher Staffing Report, 2000-2002, Maryland State Department of Education (2000)

Table 10
Ten-Year Trends in New Hires by Maryland Public Schools
1990-1991 to 1999-2000

| Year | Beginning | | | Experienced | |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| | Total | Maryland Prepared | Non Maryland Prepared | Taught in Maryland | Taught outside Maryland |
| 1990-1991 | 2,692 | 822 | 735 | 492 | 643 |
| 1991-1992 | 2,806 | 852 | 832 | 543 | 579 |
| 1992-1993 | 3,120 | 1,005 | 1,016 | 444 | 655 |
| 1993-1994 | 2,955 | 1,014 | 829 | 525 | 587 |
| 1994-1995 | 3,774 | 1,187 | 1,234 | 752 | 601 |
| 1995-1996 | 3,623 | 1,123 | 1,127 | 533 | 840 |
| 1996-1997 | 4,588 | 1,455 | 1,363 | 1,112 | 658 |
| 1997-1998 | 5,595 | 1,780 | 1,537 | 1,362 | 916 |
| 1998-1999 | 6,033 | 1,543 | 1,871 | 1,426 | 1,193 |
| 1999-2000 | 7,329 | 1,665 | 2,233 | 2,072 | 1,359 |

SOURCE: Maryland Teacher Staffing Report, 2000-2002, Maryland State Department of Education (2000)

Table 11. Number of New Teachers Hired by Maryland Public Schools in 1999 Who were Recruited Directly from Maryland Colleges and Universities or were Resident Teachers (By Selected Subject Areas).

| | Maryland Campuses | Resident Teachers | Total New Hires (All Sources) | % of New Teachers Hired from Maryland Campuses or Resident Teachers |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Art | 40 | 2 | 154 | 27.3% |
| Career/Technology | 26 | 3 | 270 | 10.7% |
| Agriculture | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0.0% |
| Business Education | 14 | 2 | 103 | 15.5% |
| Family & Consumer Sciences | 4 | 0 | 57 | 7.0% |
| Technology Education | 2 | 1 | 61 | 4.9% |
| Trades and Industry | 4 | 0 | 36 | 11.1% |
| Health Occupations | 2 | 0 | 4 | 50.0% |
| Computer Science | 1 | 0 | 6 | 16.7% |
| Early Childhood | 195 | 1 | 691 | 28.4% |
| Elementary Education | 681 | 20 | 2,841 | 24.7% |
| English | 85 | 15 | 522 | 19.2% |
| ESOL (N12) | 15 | 0 | 59 | 25.4% |
| Foreign Language | 32 | 7 | 191 | 20.4% |
| French | 9 | 1 | 67 | 14.9% |
| German | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% |
| Latin | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% |
| Spanish | 23 | 6 | 115 | 25.2% |
| Health/Physical Education | 77 | 1 | 299 | 26.1% |
| Mathematics | 66 | 3 | 344 | 20.1% |

Table 11(cont). Number of New Teachers Hired by Maryland Public Schools in 1999 Who were Recruited Directly from Maryland Colleges and Universities or were Resident Teachers (By Selected Subject Areas).

| | Maryland Campuses | Resident Teachers | Total New Hires (All Sources) | % of New Teachers Hired from Maryland Campuses or Resident Teachers |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Music | 31 | 0 | 230 | 13.5% |
| Science | 88 | 10 | 402 | 24.4% |
| Biology | 72 | 6 | 275 | 28.4% |
| Chemistry | 12 | 4 | 74 | 21.6% |
| Earth/Space Science | 3 | 0 | 22 | 13.6% |
| Physical Science | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% |
| Physics | 1 | 0 | 27 | 3.7% |
| Social Sciences | 108 | 11 | 430 | 27.7% |
| History | 15 | 8 | 75 | 30.7% |
| Social Studies | 93 | 3 | 355 | 27.0% |
| Special Education | 126 | 0 | 765 | 16.5% |
| Generic Infant- Grade 3 | 10 | 0 | 64 | 15.6% |
| Generic Grades 1-8 | 89 | 0 | 532 | 16.7% |
| Generic Grades 6-Adult | 27 | 0 | 150 | 18.0% |
| Hearing Impaired | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0.0% |
| Severely Handicapped | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0% |
| Visually Impaired | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.0% |
| Other Teaching Areas* | 14 | 7 | 125 | 16.8% |
| Total New Teachers | 1,585 | 80 | 7,329 | 22.7% |

Note: Resident Teachers are teachers hired under Maryland's Alternative Certification Program and Teach for American Program
 *Includes theater/drama, dance, speech/ communication, sociology, geography, psychology, marketing education, economics, general science, political science, K-12, and journalism.

Source: Maryland Teacher Staffing Report 2000-2002, Maryland State Department of Education (2000)

Table 12. Subject Areas in which the Public School Systems Expect Shortages in New Teachers During the Next Five Years (By Maryland Jurisdiction)

| | Computer Science | Early Childhood | Family & Consumer Sciences | Foreign Languages | Mathematics | Media Specialist | Music | Reading | Science | Special Education | Speech Pathology | Technology Education |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Allegany | | | | | X | | | | X | X | | |
| Anne Arundel | | | | | X | X | | X | X | X | X | |
| Baltimore City | | | | | X | | | X | X | X | | |
| Baltimore County | | | X | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Calvert | | | X | | X | | | | X | X | X | X |
| Caroline | | | | | X | | | | X | X | X | |
| Carroll | | | X | | X | | | | X | X | X | X |
| Cecil | | | | | X | | X | | X | X | X | X |
| Charles | | | X | | X | | | | X | X | | X |
| Dorchester | | X | | | X | | | | X | X | | X |
| Frederick | | | X | | X | X | X | | X | X | X | X |
| Garrett | | | | | X | | X | | | X | | X |
| Howard | | X | | | X | | | X | X | X | | X |
| Kent | | | X | | X | | | | X | X | X | X |
| Montgomery | X | X | X | | X | | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Prince George's | | X | | | X | | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Queen Anne's | X | | | | X | | | | X | | | |
| Somerset | | X | | | X | | | | X | | | X |
| St. Mary's | | | X | | X | | | | X | X | | X |
| Talbot | | | | | X | | | | X | X | | X |
| Washington | | | | | X | | | | X | X | | X |
| Wicomico | | | | | X | | X | | X | X | X | X |
| Worcester | | | | | X | | | | X | X | | X |
| State Total | 3 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 21 | 21 | 9 | 18 |

Note: Subject Areas mentioned by fewer than three school systems are not included. No response was received from Harford

Source: Maryland Public Schools

Table 13. Staffing Projections at Maryland Public Schools (By Selected Subject Areas)

| | Projected Pool of Candidates for Teaching Positions | | Projected Hiring Needs of Public Schools | |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------|--|-----------|
| | 2000/2001 | 2001/2002 | 2000/2001 | 2001/2002 |
| Art (N-12) | 183 | 217 | 225 | 247 |
| Career/Technology Education | 321 | 381 | 234 | 256 |
| Agriculture | 11 | 13 | 39 | 30 |
| Business Education | 122 | 145 | 67 | 62 |
| Family & Consumer Sciences | 68 | 81 | 34 | 51 |
| Technology Education | 72 | 86 | 65 | 71 |
| Trades and Industry | 43 | 51 | 25 | 35 |
| Health Occupations | 5 | 6 | 4 | 7 |
| Computer Science | 7 | 8 | 41 | 53 |
| Early Childhood | 821 | 976 | 605 | 813 |
| Elementary Education | 3,376 | 4,012 | 2,848 | 2,856 |
| English/Language Arts | 620 | 737 | 549.5 | 548 |
| ESOL (N-12) | 70 | 83 | 144 | 194 |
| Foreign Language | 225 | 267 | 245.5 | 278 |
| French | 80 | 95 | 65.5 | 57 |
| Latin | 8 | 10 | 7.5 | 8 |
| Spanish | 137 | 162 | 172.5 | 213 |
| Health/Physical Education | 355 | 422 | 285.5 | 326 |

Table 13 cont. Staffing Projections at Maryland Public Schools (By Selected Subject Areas)

| | Projected Pool of Candidates for Teaching Positions | | Projected Hiring Needs of Public Schools | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------|---|--------------|
| | 2000/2001 | 2001/2002 | 2000/2001 | 2001/2002 |
| Mathematics | 409 | 486 | 528.5 | 555 |
| Music | 273 | 325 | 212.5 | 271 |
| Science | 478 | 568 | 561 | 581 |
| Biology | 327 | 388 | 322 | 319 |
| Chemistry | 88 | 105 | 63 | 71 |
| Earth/Space Science | 26 | 31 | 65 | 76 |
| Physical Science | 5 | 6 | 69 | 70 |
| Physics | 32 | 38 | 42 | 45 |
| Social Sciences | 511 | 607 | 356 | 402 |
| History | 89 | 106 | 37 | 51 |
| Social Studies | 422 | 501 | 319 | 351 |
| Special Education | 908 | 1,079 | 1,233.50 | 1,253 |
| Generic Infant- Grade 3 | 76 | 90 | 148.5 | 157 |
| Generic Grades 1-8 | 632 | 751 | 785 | 768 |
| Generic Grades 6-Adult | 178 | 212 | 184 | 204 |
| Hearing Impaired | 18 | 21 | 25 | 24 |
| Severely Handicapped | 0 | 0 | 85 | 92 |
| Visually Impaired | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| Other Teaching Areas* | 152 | 181 | 90 | 109 |
| Total Staffing Projections | 8,710 | 10,351 | 8,159 | 8,742 |

* Theater/ drama, journalism, speech/ communication, sociology, geography, psychology, marketing education and economics

Source: Maryland Teacher Staffing Report 2000-2002. Maryland State Department of Education (2000)

APPENDIX

SURVEY OF DEPARTMENTS/SCHOOLS OF EDUCATION

Study to Determine the Capacity of Teacher Preparation Programs

Contact:

Institution:

Address:

Telephone Number:

Email address:

Best time to reach you (if we have questions about any of your responses):

Days:

Time:

1. In fall 1999, how many full- and part-time undergraduates and full- and part-time masters-level graduate students were enrolled in your institution's teacher preparatory program in the subject areas listed below? For masters-level graduate students, please include students enrolled in the Masters of Arts in Teaching (M.A.T) program. Do not include masters-level graduate students enrolled for in-service education.

| | Undergraduate Students | | Masters-Level Graduate Students | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | Full-Time | Part-Time | Full-Time | Part-Time |
| Art (N - 12) | | | | |
| Career/technology Education | | | | |
| Agriculture | | | | |
| Business Education | | | | |
| Family & Consumer Services | | | | |
| Marketing Education | | | | |
| Technology Education | | | | |
| Trades and Industry | | | | |
| Health Occupations | | | | |
| Computer Science | | | | |
| Early Childhood Education | | | | |
| Elementary Education | | | | |
| English/language arts | | | | |
| English | | | | |
| Speech | | | | |

Question 1 (Continued)

| | Undergraduate Students | | Masters-Level Graduate Students | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | Full-Time | Part-Time | Full-Time | Part-Time |
| ESOL (N-12) | | | | |
| Foreign Language | | | | |
| French | | | | |
| German | | | | |
| Latin | | | | |
| Russian | | | | |
| Spanish | | | | |
| Health | | | | |
| Mathematics | | | | |
| Music (N-12) | | | | |
| Physical Education (N-12) | | | | |
| Science | | | | |
| Biology | | | | |
| Chemistry | | | | |
| Earth/Space Science | | | | |
| Physical Science | | | | |
| Physics | | | | |
| Social Sciences | | | | |
| Geography | | | | |
| History | | | | |
| Social Studies | | | | |
| Special Education | | | | |
| Generic (Infant - Grade 3) | | | | |
| Generic (Grades 1-8) | | | | |
| Generic (Grades 6-Adult) | | | | |
| Hearing Impaired | | | | |
| Severely Handicapped | | | | |
| Visually Handicapped | | | | |
| Other Teaching Areas | | | | |
| Theater | | | | |
| Dance | | | | |

2. During fall 1999/spring 2000 (as applicable), how many undergraduate students were enrolled in the professional semester (those taking their approved course of study in the professional program) in the subject areas listed below?

Art (N - 12) _____

Career/technology Education _____

Agriculture _____

Business Education _____

Family & Consumer Services _____

Marketing Education _____

Technology Education _____

Trades and Industry _____

Health Occupations _____

Computer Science _____

Early Childhood Education _____

Elementary Education _____

English/language arts _____

English _____

Speech _____

ESOL (N-12) _____

Foreign Language _____

French _____

German _____

Latin _____

Russian _____

Spanish _____

Health _____

Mathematics _____

Music (N-12) _____

Physical Education (N-12) _____

Science _____

Biology _____

Chemistry _____

Earth/Space Science _____

Physical Science _____

Physics _____

Social Sciences _____

Geography _____

History _____

Social Studies _____

Special Education _____

Generic (Infant - Grade 3) _____

Generic (Grades 1-8) _____

Generic (Grades 6 - Adult) _____

Hearing Impaired _____

Severely Handicapped _____

Visually Impaired _____

Other Teaching Areas _____

Theater _____

Dance _____

3. How many additional students could your teacher preparatory program absorb **with quality** within your **current** faculty and staff situation, facilities capacity and operating budget in the subject areas listed below? For masters-level graduate students, please include M.A.T. students.

| | | Undergraduate Students | | Masters-Level Graduate Students | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | | Full-Time | Part-Time | Full-Time | Part-Time |
| Art (N - 12) | | | | | |
| Career/technology Education | | | | | |
| | Agriculture | | | | |
| | Business Education | | | | |
| | Family & Consumer Services | | | | |
| | Marketing Education | | | | |
| | Technology Education | | | | |
| | Trades and Industry | | | | |
| | Health Occupations | | | | |
| Computer Science | | | | | |
| Early Childhood Education | | | | | |
| Elementary Education | | | | | |
| English/language arts | | | | | |
| | English | | | | |
| | Speech | | | | |
| ESOL (N-12) | | | | | |
| Foreign Language | | | | | |
| | French | | | | |
| | German | | | | |
| | Latin | | | | |
| | Russian | | | | |
| | Spanish | | | | |
| Health | | | | | |
| Mathematics | | | | | |
| Music (N-12) | | | | | |
| Physical Education (N-12) | | | | | |
| Science | | | | | |
| | Biology | | | | |
| | Chemistry | | | | |
| | Earth/Space Science | | | | |
| | Physical Science | | | | |
| | Physics | | | | |

Question 3 (Continued)

| | | Undergraduate Students | | Masters-Level Graduate Students | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | | Full-Time | Part-Time | Full-Time | Part-Time |
| Social Sciences | | | | | |
| | Geography | | | | |
| | History | | | | |
| | Social Studies | | | | |
| Special Education | | | | | |
| | Generic (Infant - Grade 3) | | | | |
| | Generic (Grades 1-8) | | | | |
| | Generic (Grades 6-Adult) | | | | |
| | Hearing Impaired | | | | |
| | Severely Handicapped | | | | |
| | Visually Impaired | | | | |
| Other Teaching Areas | | | | | |
| | Theater | | | | |
| | Dance | | | | |

4. Will the number of additional students your program is able to absorb with quality be affected by the redesign of teacher preparatory programs and requirements such as state certification, graduate and in-service training, and other accreditation like NCATE? Please explain.

